arXiv:1612.06893v1 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2016

PROBABILISTIC SCHUBERT CALCULUS

PETER BÜRGISSER AND ANTONIO LERARIO

Abstract. We initiate the study of *average* intersection theory in real Grassmannians. We define the *expected degree* $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)$ of the real Grassmannian $G(k,n)$ as the average number of real k-planes meeting nontrivially $k(n-k)$ random subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$, all of dimension $n-k$, where these subspaces are sampled uniformly and independently from $G(n-k,n)$. We prove an upper bound on $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)$ that is asymptotically sharp for $n\to\infty$ (in the logarithmic scale). Moreover, we show that $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$ for $k,n\to\infty$, where $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ denotes the degree of the corresponding complex Grassmannian. In the case of the Grassmannian of lines, we prove the finer asymptotic

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,n+1) = \frac{8}{3\pi^{5/2}\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\pi^2}{4}\right)^n\left(1+\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right).$$

More generally, we associate with a semialgebraic set $X\subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$ its Chow hypersurface $Z(X)\subseteq G(k,n)$, consisting of the k-planes A in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbb{P}(A)\cap X\neq\emptyset$. Denoting $N:=k(n-k)$, we show that

$$\mathbb{E}\,\#\left(g_1Z(X_1)\cap\cdots\cap g_mZ(X_m)\right) = \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{|X_i|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^m|},$$

where each X_i is of dimension $m=n-k-1$, the expectation is taken with respect to independent uniformly distributed $g_1,\dots,g_m\in O(n)$ and $|X_i|$ denotes the m-dimensional volume of X_i.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Motivation 2
1.2. Main results: expected degree of real Grassmannian 3
1.3. Probabilistic Schubert Calculus 4
1.4. The square root law 4
1.5. Random polytopes 4
1.6. Incidence geometry over $\mathbb{C}$ 4
1.7. Main results: random incidence geometry over $\mathbb{R}$ 5
1.8. Related work 6
1.9. Acknowledgments 7
2. Preliminaries 7
2.1. Real Grassmannians 7
2.2. Regular points of semialgebraic sets 8
2.3. Complex Grassmannians 8

Date: December 22, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N15, 14Pxx, 52A22, 60D05.

Key words and phrases. enumerative geometry, real Grassmannians, Schubert calculus, integral geometry, random polytopes.

First author partially supported by DFG grant BU 1371/2-2.

2.4. Intersecting hypersurfaces in complex Grassmannians 8
2.5. Convex bodies 10
2.6. The zonoid associated with a probability distribution 10
2.7. Various volumes 11
2.8. Some useful estimates 12
3. Integral geometry of real Grassmannians 13
3.1. Joint density of principal angles between random subspaces 13
3.2. Subvarieties of Grassmannians with transitive action on tangent spaces 14
3.3. Relative position of real subspaces 15
3.4. Intersecting random semialgebraic subsets of Grassmannians 16
4. Probabilistic enumerative geometry 18
4.1. Special Schubert varieties 18
4.2. Volume of special Schubert varieties 18
4.3. Chow hypersurfaces 20
4.4. Random incidence geometry 21
4.5. Classical Schubert calculus revisited 22
5. Random convex bodies 24
5.1. The Segre zonoid 24
5.2. Volume of the Segre zonoid 27
6. Asymptotics 28
6.1. Laplace method 28
6.2. An asymptotically sharp upper bound for the expected degree 29
6.3. The Grassmannian of lines 33
7. Appendix 37
7.1. Proof of Theorem 3.2 37
7.2. Proof of Lemma 4.3 38
7.3. Proof of Lemma 4.5 39
7.4. Proof of Proposition 5.12 41
7.5. Generalized Poincaré's formula in homogeneous spaces 41
References 45

1. Introduction

1.1. **Motivation.** Classical enumerative geometry deals with questions like: *"How many lines intersect four lines in three-dimensional space in general position?"* or more generally: *"How many lines intersect four curves of degrees $d_1, \dots, d_4$ in three-space in general position?"*

The answer to this type of questions is provided by a beautiful, sophisticated machinery, which goes under the name of *Schubert calculus*. The problem is reduced to a computation in the cohomology ring of the Grassmann manifold: the set of lines intersecting a given line (or curve) represents a codimension one cycle (a Schubert variety) in the complex Grassmannian $G_{\mathbb{C}}(2,4)$ of 2-dimensional vector subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^4$ (i.e., lines in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^3$), and one has to count the number of points of intersection of four generic copies of this cycle. When counting complex solutions, the answer to the first question is 2; for the second the answer is $2 \cdot d_1 \cdots d_4$.

We refer to the survey [27] and any of the monographs [23, 16, 34, 14] for a treatment of Schubert calculus.

Over the real numbers this approach fails—there is no generic number of real solutions, which can already be seen in the basic problem of counting the real solutions to a polynomial

equation. Understanding even the possible outcomes for higher dimensional versions of the problem becomes increasingly complicated. A main question considered in real enumerative geometry is whether the number of complex solutions can be realized over the reals, in which case one speaks of a *fully real problem*, see Sottile [43, 44]. Schubert calculus is known to be fully real [50].

The goal of our work is to study enumerative problems over the reals in a probabilistic way, by answering questions like:

"On average, how many real *lines intersect four* random *lines in* $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^3$*?"*.

This approach offers a broad point of view to the subject, by seeking typical properties of random arrangements. As we will see, the theory we will present will blend ideas from (real) algebraic geometry with integral geometry and the theory of random polytopes.

1.2. **Main results: expected degree of real Grassmannian.** Generalizing the first question, the number of lines meeting $2n-2$ generic projective subspaces of dimension $n-2$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ equals the degree of the complex Grassmann manifold $G_{\mathbb{C}}(2, n+1)$, which is known to be the Catalan number $\frac{1}{n}\binom{2n-2}{n-1}$ and asymptotically, when $n \to \infty$, behaves as:

$$\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(2, n+1) = \frac{4^{n-1}}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right). \tag{1.1}$$

Similarly, the degree of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k, n)$ equals the number of k-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ meeting nontrivially $k(n-k)$ generic subspaces, all of dimension $n-k$.

For the study of the corresponding problem over the reals, we can introduce the following probabilistic setup. Recall that for every (k, n) there is a unique probability distribution on the real Grassmannian $G(k, n)$, which is invariant under the action of $O(n)$; we call this distribution the *uniform distribution*. A random k-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is obtained by sampling from this distribution.

Definition. *We define the* expected degree *of* $G(k, n)$ *as the average number* $\operatorname{edeg} G(k, n)$ *of real* k*-planes meeting nontrivially* $k(n-k)$ *many random, real, independent subspaces of* $\mathbb{R}^n$*, all of dimension* $n-k$*.*

The expected number of lines meeting four random lines in $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^3$ equals $\operatorname{edeg}(2, 4) = 1.72$ (rounded to two digits); see Proposition 6.7 for an explicit expression involving a generalized elliptic integral. More generally, for the Grassmannian of lines, we prove the following asymptotic (Theorem 6.8 below), which should be compared with its complex analogue (1.1).

Theorem. *The expected degree of the Grassmannian of lines equals*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2, n+1) = \frac{8}{3\pi^{5/2}\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4}\right)^n \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right).$$

For the general case, we define $\rho_k := \mathbb{E}\,\|X\|$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a standard normal Gaussian k-vector. (It is known that $\rho_k \leq \sqrt{k}$, which is asymptotically sharp; see (2.14).) We show the following explicit upper bound

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k, n) \;\leq\; \frac{|G(k, n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{k(n-k)}|} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\,\frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^N.$$

Note that this specializes to $\operatorname{edeg} G(2, n) \leq (\pi^2/4)^{n-2}$. Moreover, we prove that (in the logarithmic scale) this upper bound is asymptotically sharp, deducing the following (see Theorem 6.5 below).

Theorem. *For fixed k, as $n \to \infty$, the following asymptotic holds:*

$$\log \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = kn \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \right) - \mathcal{O}(\log n).$$

1.3. **Probabilistic Schubert Calculus.** Classical Schubert calculus deals with the intersection of Schubert varieties in general position. Our paper is a first attempt at developing such a theory over the reals. By *probabilistic Schubert calculus* we understand the investigation of the expected number of points of intersection of real Schubert varieties in random position. We confine our study to special Schubert varieties, thus ignoring the more complicated flag conditions. For the sake of simplicity, we also restrict our presentation to the codimension one case, i.e., intersection of hypersurfaces in the real Grassmannian, even though our methods work in more generality, as pointed out in different remarks throughout the text. The case of higher codimension will be the subject of a future publication.

We define the special Schubert variety $\Sigma(k,n) \subseteq G(k,n)$ as the set of k-planes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ intersecting a fixed subspace V of dimension $n-k$ nontrivially. Then $\Sigma(k,n)$ is a codimension-one real algebraic subset of $G(k,n)$, and we can interpret the expected degree as:

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \mathbb{E}\,\#\left(g_1\Sigma(k,n) \cap \cdots \cap g_N\Sigma(k,n)\right),$$

where $N = k(n-k) = \dim G(k,n)$ and the expectation is taken over independent uniformly distributed $g_1, \ldots, g_N \in O(n)$.

1.4. **The square root law.** A general, guiding theme of our work is to compare the number of complex solutions with the expected number of real solutions. With this regard, we obtain for fixed $k \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k + o(1)} \quad \text{for } n \to \infty,$$

where ε_k is monotonically decreasing with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varepsilon_k = 1$. (See Corollary 6.6 for an explicit expression for ε_k.) This means that for large n, the expected degree of the real Grassmannian exceeds the square root of the degree of the corresponding complex Grassmannian, and $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_k - 1)$ measures the deviation in the exponent. If also $k \to \infty$, we have an *asymptotic square root law*, $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}$, saying that the expected number of solutions is roughly the square root of the number of complex solutions.

1.5. **Random polytopes.** The proof of our results on the expected degree employs an interesting and general connection between expected absolute random determinants and random polytopes that are zonoids (Vitale [51]). This allows to express the expected degree in terms of the volume of certain zonoids, for which we coined the name *Segre zonoids* $C(k,m)$, see §5.1. These are zonoids of matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ that are invariant under the action of the group $O(k) \times O(m)$. Via the singular value decomposition, the Segre zonoid fibers over a zonoid $D(k)$ of singular values in $\mathbb{R}^k$ (if $k \leq m$) and its volume can be studied this way. Via a variant of Laplace's method [15] we prove that, asymptotically for $m \to \infty$ and up to a subexponential factor $e^{o(m)}$, the volume of $C(k,m)$ equals the volume of the smallest ball including $C(k,m)$. The volume of this ball is not the same, but related to what one gets when carrying out the analogous argument for computing the degree of the complex Grassmann manifold.

1.6. **Incidence geometry over $\mathbb{C}$.** For the sake of comparison, let us describe the classical intersection theory in the restricted setting of intersecting hypersurfaces of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$.

Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ be an irreducible algebraic hypersurface in $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$. It is known that the vanishing ideal of $\mathcal{H}$ in the homogeneous coordinate ring of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ is generated by a single equation in the Plücker coordinates; cf. [21, Chap. 3, Prop. 2.1]. We shall call its degree the

relative degree $\mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. This naming is justified by the following observation. Denoting by $\iota\colon G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^n)$ the Plücker embedding, $\iota(\mathcal{H})$ is obtained by intersecting $\iota(G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))$ with an irreducible hypersurface of degree $\mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}$ and hence, by Bézout's theorem, $\deg \iota(\mathcal{H}) = \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H} \cdot \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$.

For dealing with questions of incidence geometry, we introduce the *Chow variety* $Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ associated with an irreducible complex projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$. One defines $Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ as the set of k-dimensional linear subspaces $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ such that their projectivization satisfies $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Is it known that $Z(X)$ is an irreducible algebraic hypersurface in $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$; moreover $\mathrm{rdeg}Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \deg X$; cf. [21, Chap. 3, §2.B]. If we choose X to be a linear space, then $Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ is a *special Schubert variety* of codimension one that we denote by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ (notationally ignoring the dependence on X).

Many results in enumerative incidence geometry over $\mathbb{C}$ can be obtained from the following consequence of Bézout's theorem (see Theorem 2.4). Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_N$ be irreducible algebraic hypersurfaces in $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$, where $N = k(n-k)$. Then:

$$\#(g_1\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \ldots \cap g_N\mathcal{H}_N) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_N.$$

for almost all $(g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)^N$. In particular, if $X_1, \ldots, X_N \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ are irreducible projective subvarieties of dimension $n-k-1$, then:

$$\#(g_1 Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1) \cap \ldots \cap g_N Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X_N)) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot \deg X_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \deg X_N. \tag{1.2}$$

for almost all $(g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)^N$.

A fundamental result in complex algebraic geometry due to Wirtinger gives a close link between the degree and the volume $|X|$ of an irreducible projective variety: we have $|X| = \deg X \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^m|$, where $m = \dim X$; see [37, §5.C] or [40, Chap. VIII, §4.4]. This is a key observation, since the interpretation of the degree as a volume ratio paves the way for arriving at analogous results over the reals. Using Wirtinger's result, it is easy to show that (see (2.7)):

$$\frac{|Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)|}{|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))|} = \mathrm{rdeg}Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \deg X = \frac{|X|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{\dim X}|}. \tag{1.3}$$

1.7. **Main results: random incidence geometry over $\mathbb{R}$.** Similarly as we did in §1.6 over $\mathbb{C}$, we associate with a semialgebraic subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$, the *Chow hypersurface* $Z(X) \subseteq G(k,n)$, which is the real analog of the associated Chow variety. We obtain the special real Schubert variety $\Sigma(k,n)$ by taking for X a linear space. By means of integral geometry, we prove that, in analogy with (1.3),

$$\frac{|Z(X)|}{|\Sigma(k,n)|} = \frac{|X|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\dim X}|}. \tag{1.4}$$

In Theorem 4.2, we determine the volume of the special Schubert variety $\Sigma(k,n)$:

$$\frac{|\Sigma(k,n)|}{|G(k,n)|} = \pi \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{k-1}|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{k}|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k}|},$$

thus obtaining a striking analogy with the result over $\mathbb{C}$ (cf. (2.6)):

$$\frac{|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|}{|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))|} = \frac{N}{\pi} = \pi \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{k-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{k}|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-k}|}.$$

In the probabilistic setting over the reals, we will prove a result analogous to (1.2) that decouples a random incidence geometry problem into a volume computation in $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ and the determination of the expected degree of the Grassmann manifold (see Theorem 4.10 below). This

theorem emphasizes the role of the expected degree of Grassmannians as the key quantity governing questions of random incidence geometry. Note that over the complex numbers, the same decoupling result is a consequence of the ring structure of the cohomology of the Grassmannian.

Theorem. *Let $X_1, \dots, X_N$ be semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$, where $N = k(n-k)$. Then we have:*

$$\mathbb{E}\,\#\left(g_1 Z(X_1) \cap \dots \cap g_N Z(X_N)\right) = \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|X_i|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|},$$

with respect to independent uniformly distributed $g_1, \dots, g_N \in O(n)$.

In particular, there are $\operatorname{edeg} G(2,4) \cdot |X_1| \cdot \ldots \cdot |X_4| \cdot |\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^1|^{-4}$ many real lines intersecting random translates of curves $X_1, \dots, X_4 \subset \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^3$.

The proof of this result follows from (1.4) and a generalization of the integral geometry formula for homogeneous spaces in Howard [25] to multiple intersections (Theorem 7.2). This generalization is novel, but the techniques for the proof are very similar to [25], so that we have moved the proof to the Appendix 7.5. The application of this formula is possible due to the observation that the orthogonal group acts transitively on the tangent spaces of Chow hypersurfaces.

It is interesting to observe that over the complex numbers the degree of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ can be obtained in two different ways: (1) intersecting the Grassmannian in the Plücker embedding with $N = k(n-k)$ generic hyperplanes; (2) intersecting N many generic copies of the Schubert variety $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ inside $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ itself. The second method is equivalent to intersecting the image of the Plücker embedding with N very nongeneric hyperplanes.

By contrast, over the real numbers the two procedures give very different answers: (1) averaging the intersection of the real Grassmannian in the Plücker embedding with N random hyperplanes gives $\frac{|G(k,n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|}$ (by integral geometry); (2) averaging the intersection of N random copies of $\Sigma(k,n)$ gives the expected degree.

Finally, let us mention that the integral geometry arguments can also be applied to the classical setting over $\mathbb{C}$. There, the situation is considerably simpler, since the scaling constant $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,m)$ appearing in the corresponding integral geometry formula (Theorem 4.14) can be explicitly determined. On the other hand, we do not have a closed formula for its real version $\alpha(k,m)$, which is captured by the expected degree of Grassmannians. As a consequence, it turns out that classical results of enumerative geometry over $\mathbb{C}$ can be obtained via the computation of volumes and the evaluation of integrals. We illustrate this general observation by the computation of the degree of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ (see Corollary 4.15).

1.8. **Related work.** A square root law (in the form of an equality) was for the first time discovered by Kostlan [29] and Shub and Smale [41], who found a beautiful result on the expected number of real solutions to random polynomial systems. This work has strongly inspired ours. Paul Breiding [5] has recently discovered results in the same spirit for the (expected) number of (real) eigenvalues of tensors. Related to this work, the second author of the present paper, together with S. Basu, E. Lundberg and C. Peterson have recently obtained results on counting real lines on random real hypersurfaces [3], obtaining a similar square root law (already conjectured by the first author of the current paper).

When the zero set of a random system of polynomial equations is not zero dimensional, its size may be measured either in terms of volume or Euler characteristic, which was investigated by the first author of the current paper in [7]. We refer to the papers [12] and [11] that investigate the average number of real solutions in different contexts. More recently, the subject of *random*

algebraic geometry, meant as the study of topological properties of random real algebraic sets, has become very popular. Asymptotic square root laws have been found for Betti numbers of Kostlan hypersurfaces by Gayet and Welschinger [18, 19, 20] (and in general for invariant models by the second author of the current paper, Fyodorov and Lundberg [17]); similar results for Betti numbers of intersection of random quadrics have been obtained by the second author of the current paper and Lundberg [31, 32].

1.9. **Acknowledgments.** We are very grateful to Frank Sottile who originally suggested this line of research. We thank Paul Breiding, Kathlén Kohn, Chris Peterson, and Bernd Sturmfels for discussions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Real Grassmannians.** Suppose that E is a Euclidean vector space of dimension n. We have an inner product on the exterior algebra $\Lambda(E) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^k(E)$, which in coordinates is described as follows. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of E and put $e_I := e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$ for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. Then the e_I form an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^k(E)$, where I runs over all subsets of $[n]$ with $|I| = k$. Hence, for $a_I \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\| \sum_U a_I e_I \|^2 = \sum_I |a_I|^2.$$

Let $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij} e_j$ with $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k = \sum_I \det(X_I) e_I$, where X_I is the $k \times k$ submatrix obtained from $X = (x_{ij})$ by selecting the columns indexed by the numbers in I. Hence

$$\|v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k\|^2 = \sum_I |\det(X_I)|^2 = \det(\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{1 \le i,j \le k}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the second equality is the well known Binet-Cauchy identity. (Clearly, the above quantity does not change if we substitute the v_i by $\sum_{j=1}^k g_{ij} v_j$ with $(g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{SL}_k$.) If $w_i = \sum_{j=1}^p y_{ij} e_j$ with $y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain for the scalar product between two simple k-vectors (compare [30])

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k, w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_k \rangle = \det(\langle v_i, w_j \rangle)_{1 \le i,j \le k}.$$

Let $G^+(k, E)$ denote the set of oriented k-planes in E. Let $L \in G^+(k, E)$ and $v_1, \dots, v_k$ be an oriented orthonormal basis of E. Then $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$ is independent of the choice of the basis. Via the (injective) Plücker embedding $G^+(k, E) \to \Lambda^k(E), L \mapsto v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$ we can identify $G^+(k, E)$ with the set of norm-one, simple k-vectors in $\Lambda(E)$. We note that the orthogonal group $O(E)$ acts isometrically on $\Lambda(E)$ and restricts to the natural action on $G^+(k, E)$ that, for $g \in O(E)$, assigns to an oriented k-plane L its image gL. By this identification, $G^+(k, E)$ is a smooth submanifold of $\Lambda^k(E)$ and inherits an $O(E)$-invariant Riemannian metric from the ambient euclidean space. We denote by $|U|$ the volume of a measurable subset of $G^+(k, E)$.

The *real Grassmann manifold* $G(k, E)$ is defined as the set of k-planes in E. We can identify $G(k, E)$ with the image of $G^+(k, E)$ under the quotient map $q\colon S(\Lambda(E)) \to \mathbb{P}(\Lambda(E))$ from the unit sphere of $\Lambda(E)$, which forgets the orientation. The Riemannian metric on $G(k, E)$ is defined as the one for which $q\colon G^+(k, E) \to G(k, E)$ is a local isometry. (Note that this map is a double covering.)

The *uniform probability* measure on $G(k, E)$ is defined by setting:

$$\mathrm{Prob}(V) := \frac{|V|}{|G(k, E)|}, \quad \text{for all measurable } V \subseteq G(k, E).$$

We just write $G(k,n) := G(k,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $G^+(k,n) := G^+(k,\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ has the standard inner product.

The Plücker embedding allows to view the tangent space $T_A G(k,E)$ at $A \in G(k,n)$ as a subspace of $\Lambda^k(E)$. While this is sometimes useful for explicit calculations, it is often helpful to take a more invariant viewpoint. We canonically have $T_A G(k,n) = \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\perp)$, compare [24, Lect. 16]. To $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\perp)$ there corresponds the tangent vector $\sum_{i=1}^k a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{i-1} \wedge \alpha(a_i) \wedge a_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k$, where $A = a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k$. Moreover, if $g_*\colon G(k,E) \to G(k,E)$ denotes the action corresponding to $g \in O(E)$, then its derivative $D_A g_*\colon T_A G(k,E) \to T_{g(A)} G(k,E)$ at $A \in G(k,E)$ is the map $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\perp) \to \mathrm{Hom}(g(A), g(A)^\perp)$, $\alpha \mapsto g \circ \alpha \circ g^{-1}$. It is easy to verify that the norm of a tangent vector $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, A^\perp)$ in the previously defined Riemannian metric equals the Frobenius norm of α.

Remark 2.1. It is known that (see [30, Section 6.4]) that for $(k,n) \neq (2,4)$, there is a unique (up to multiples) $O(n)$-invariant Riemannian metric on $G^+(k,n)$; moreover for all k,n there is a unique (up to multiples) $O(n)$-invariant volume form and consequently a unique $O(n)$-invariant probability distribution on $G^+(k,n)$.

2.2. **Regular points of semialgebraic sets.** We refer to [4] as the standard reference for real algebraic geometry and recall here some not so well known notion from real algebraic geometry. Let M be a smooth real algebraic variety, e.g., $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a real Grassmannian, and let $S \subseteq M$ be a semialgebraic subset. A point $x \in S$ is called *regular of dimension* d if x has an open neighborhood U in M such that $S \cap U$ is a smooth submanifold of U of dimension d. The dimension of S can be defined as the maximal dimension of regular points in S (which is well defined since regular points always exist). The points which fail to be regular are called *singular*. We denote the set of singular points by $\mathrm{Sing}(S)$ and say that S is *smooth* if $\mathrm{Sing}(S) = \emptyset$. Moreover, we write $\mathrm{Reg}(S) := S \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(S)$. The following is stated in [10, §4.2] without proof, refering to [33]. A proof can be found in [46].

Proposition 2.2. *The set* $\mathrm{Sing}(S)$ *is semialgebraic and* $\dim \mathrm{Sing}(S) < \dim S$. *In particular,* $S \neq \mathrm{Sing}(S)$.

In the sequel it will be convenient to say that generic points of a semialgebraic set S satisfy a certain property if this property is satisfied by all points except in a semialgebraic subset of positive codimension in S. (For example, in view of the previous proposition, generic points of a semialgebraic set S are regular points.)

2.3. **Complex Grassmannians.** The *complex Grassmann manifold* $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ is defined as the set of complex k-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It can be identified with its image under the (complex) Plücker embedding $\iota\colon G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \to \mathbb{P}(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n))$, that is defined similarly as over $\mathbb{R}$ in §2.1. We note that the unitary group $U(n)$ acts isometrically on $\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and restricts to the natural action on $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ that, for $g \in U(n)$, assigns to a complex k-plane L its image gL. Since the image of ι is Zariski closed, we can view $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ as a complex projective variety, which inherits an $U(n)$-invariant Hermitian metric from the ambient space. The real part of this Hermitian metric defines a Riemannian metric on $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$. We denote by $|V|$ the volume of a measurable subset V of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$. The degree of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ as a projective variety is well known, see Corollary 4.15.

2.4. **Intersecting hypersurfaces in complex Grassmannians.** Recall from §1.6 that for an irreducible hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$ in the complex Grassmannian $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ we have:

$$\deg \iota(\mathcal{H}) = \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H} \cdot \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n), \tag{2.2}$$

where ι denotes the Plücker embedding. Moreover, recall from §1.6 the definition of the Chow hypersurface $Z(X)$ of an irreducible projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$.

The degree and the volume of projective varieties are related by the following result, due to Wirtinger (see [37, §5.C] or [40, Chap. VIII, §4.4]).

Theorem 2.3. *An irreducible projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ of dimension m satisfies $|X| = \deg X \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^m|$*

This theorem, combined with the fact that the Plücker embedding is isometric, implies:

$$|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)| = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N|, \tag{2.3}$$

where $N := \dim G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) = k(n-k)$. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ is an irreducible projective variety of dimension $n-k-1$, we obtain for the Chow hypersurface $Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ by the same reasoning, using (2.2),

$$|Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)| = \deg \iota(Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)) \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}| = \mathrm{rdeg} Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \cdot \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}|. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, taking for X a linear space, we get for the special Schubert variety:

$$|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))| = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot |\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}|. \tag{2.5}$$

Dividing this equation by (2.3) we obtain for the volume of $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$, cf. [8]:

$$\frac{|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|}{|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))|} = \frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N|} = \frac{N}{\pi} = \pi \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{k-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^k|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{n-k}|}. \tag{2.6}$$

We remark that this formula can also by obtained in a less elegant way by calculus only (see first version of [8]). In Theorem 4.2 we will derive a real analogue of (2.6), based on the calculation of the volume of the tube around the special Schubert variety $\Sigma(k,n)$.

We can easily derive more conclusions from the above: dividing the equations (2.4) and (2.5), we get:

$$\frac{|Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X)|}{|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))|} = \mathrm{rdeg} Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \deg X = \frac{|X|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{\dim X}|}. \tag{2.7}$$

In Proposition 4.8 we will derive an analogous result over $\mathbb{R}$.

Many results in enumerative geometry over $\mathbb{C}$ can be obtained from the following well known consequence of Bézout's theorem. In Theorem 4.10 we will provide a real analogue of this result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_N$ be irreducible algebraic hypersurfaces in $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$, where $N := k(n-k)$. Then we have:*

$$\#(g_1\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \ldots \cap g_N\mathcal{H}_N) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_N$$

for almost all $(g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)^N$. In particular, if $X_1, \ldots, X_N \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ are irreducible projective subvarieties of dimension $n-k-1$, then:

$$\#(g_1 Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1) \cap \ldots \cap g_N Z_{\mathbb{C}}(X_N)) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \cdot \deg X_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \deg X_N$$

for almost all $(g_1, \ldots, g_N) \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)^N$.

Proof. A general result by Kleiman [26] implies that $g_1\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, g_N\mathcal{H}_N$ meet transversally, for almost all $g_1, \ldots, g_n$. Recall the Plücker embedding $\iota\colon G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^n)$. Note that $\iota(\mathcal{H}_i)$ is obtained by intersecting $\iota(G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))$ with an irreducible hypersurface H_i in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^n)$ of degree $\mathrm{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_i$. We thus have $\iota(g_1\mathcal{H}_1) \cap \ldots \cap \iota(g_1\mathcal{H}_N) = \iota(G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)) \cap g_1\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \ldots \cap g_N\mathcal{H}_N$ and the assertion follows from Bézout's theorem. □

2.5. **Convex bodies.** For the following see [39]. By a *convex body* K we understand a nonempty, compact, convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The *support function* h_K of K is defined as:

$$h_K(u) := \max\big\{\langle x, u\rangle \mid x \in K\big\} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

One calls $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, u\rangle = h_K(u)\}$ the *supporting hyperplane* in the direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. The support function h_K is a positively homogeneous, subadditive function that, by the hyperplane separation theorem, characterizes K as follows:

$$x \in K \iff \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \langle x, u\rangle \le h_K(u).$$

If $0 \in K$ one defines the *radial function* r_K of K by:

$$r_K(u) := \max\big\{t \ge 0 \mid tu \in K\big\} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

The *radius* $\|K\|$ of K is defined as the maximum of the function r_K on the unit sphere S^{d-1}.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex body containing the origin. Then the radial function r_K and the support function h_K of K have the same maximum on S^{d-1}. Moreover, a direction $u \in S^{d-1}$ is maximizing for r_K if and only u is maximizing for h_K.*

Proof. Let $\overline{r}$ denote the maximum of r_K. Then $K \subseteq B(0, \overline{r})$ and hence $h_K \le h_{B(0,\overline{r})}$, which implies $\max h_K \le \max h_{B(0,\overline{r})} = \overline{r}$. For the other direction let $u \in S^{d-1}$. Then $\langle x, u\rangle \le h_K(u)$ for all $x \in K$. In particular, setting $x := r_K(u)u$, which lies in K, we obtain $r_K(u) = \langle r_K(u)u, u\rangle \le h_K(u)$, and consequently $\max r_K \le \max h_K$. The claim about the maximizing directions follows easily by tracing our argument. □

We recall also the following useful fact [39, Corollary 1.7.3].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex body and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Then the support function h_K of K is differentiable at u if and only if the intersection of K with the supporting hyperplane of K in the direction u contains only one point x. In this case $x = (\nabla h_K)(u)$. In particular, if S denotes the set of nonzero points at which h_K is smooth, we have $\nabla h_K(S) \subseteq \partial K$.*

The set $\mathcal{K}^d$ of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be turned into a metric space by means of the Hausdorff metric. The *Hausdorff distance* of the sets $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^d$ is defined by:

$$\delta(K, L) := \max\big\{t \ge 0 \mid K \subseteq L + tB^d \text{ and } L \subseteq K + tB^d\big\},$$

where B^d denotes the closed unit ball and $+$ the Minkowski addition.

We introduce now special classes of convex bodies that will naturally arise in our work. A *zonotope* is the Minkowski sum of finitely many line segments. A *zonoid* is obtained as the limit (with respect to the Hausdorff metric) of a sequence of zonotopes. It is easy to see that closed balls are zonoids.

2.6. **The zonoid associated with a probability distribution.** The following is from Vitale [51]. A *random convex body* X is a Borel measurable map from a probability space to $\mathcal{K}^d$. Suppose that the radius $\|X\|$ of X has a finite expectation. Then one can associate with X its *expectation* $\mathbb{E}\,X \in \mathcal{K}^d$, which is characterized by its support function as follows:

$$h_{\mathbb{E}\,X}(u) = \mathbb{E}\,h_X(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.8}$$

Suppose now Y is a random vector taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We associate with Y the *zonoid* $C_Y := \mathbb{E}\,[0, Y]$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is defined if $\mathbb{E}\,\|Y\| < \infty$. In the following we assume that Y and $-Y$ have the same distribution. By (2.8), the support function h of C_Y is given by:

$$h_{C_Y}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\,|\langle u, Y\rangle| \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.9}$$

Example 2.7. Suppose that $Y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is standard Gaussian. The support function h_1 of C_Y satisfies by (2.9):

$$h_1(e_1) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\,|\langle e_1, Y\rangle| = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\,|Y_1| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

The function h_1 is $O(d)$-invariant and hence $h_1(u) = \|u\|/\sqrt{2\pi}$. It follows that the associated zonoid C_Y is the ball $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,B^d$ with radius $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and centered at the origin.

The random variable $\tilde{Y} := Y/\|Y\|$ is uniformly distributed in the sphere S^{d-1} and $\tilde{Y}$ and $\|Y\|$ are independent. Hence the support function h_2 of $C_{\tilde{Y}}$ satisfies:

$$h_2(u) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{E}\,\frac{|\langle u, Y\rangle|}{\|Y\|} = \frac{1}{\rho_d} h_1(u).$$

Hence the zonoid associated with $\tilde{Y}$ equals the ball $\frac{1}{\rho_d}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}B^d$. Therefore, if we sample uniformly in the sphere of radius ρ_d around the origin, the associated zonoid is the same as for the standard normal distribution, namely $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}B^d$.

Let $M_Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ be the random matrix whose columns are i.i.d. copies of Y. Our goal is to analyze the expectation of the absolute value of the determinant of M_Y. The following result due to Vitale [51] is crucial for our analysis in §5.

Theorem 2.8. *If Y is a random vector taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\mathbb{E}\,\|Y\| < \infty$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}\,|M_Y| = d!\,|C_Y|.$$

More information on this can be found in Schneider's book [39, §3.5], where C_Y is called the zonoid whose generating measure is the distribution of Y. (This is under the assumption that $Y \in S^{d-1}$.) More results in the spirit of Theorem 2.8 can be found in [39, §5.3].

2.7. **Various volumes.** In order to express the volume of orthogonal groups etc., we introduce the *multivariate Gamma function* $\Gamma_k(a)$, defined by:

$$\Gamma_k(a) := \int_{A>0} e^{-\mathrm{tr}A} (\det A)^{a-\frac{k+1}{4}}\, dA,$$

for $\Re(a) > \frac{1}{2}(k-1)$, where the integral is over the cone of positive definite $k \times k$ real symmetric matrices. This clearly generalizes the classical Gamma function: $\Gamma_1(a) = \Gamma(a)$. It is known that [36, Theorem 2.1.12]:

$$\Gamma_k(a) = \pi^{k(k-1)/4}\,\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a-1/2)\cdots\Gamma(a-(k-1)/2).$$

The orthogonal group $O(k) := \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k} \mid Q^TQ = \mathbf{1}\}$ is a compact smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$. Its tangent space at $\mathbf{1}$ is given by the space of skew-symmetric matrices $T_{\mathbf{1}}O(k) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k} \mid A^T + A = 0\}$, on which we define the inner product $\langle A, B\rangle := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(A^TB)$ for $A, B \in T_{\mathbf{1}}O(k)$. We extend this to a Riemannian metric on $O(k)$ by requiring that the left-multiplications are isometries and call the resulting metric the canonical one. (Note that this differs by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ from the metric on $O(k)$ induced by the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$.) Then, for v in the unit sphere $S^{k-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \|x\| = 1\}$, the orbit map $O(k) \to S^{k-1}$, $Q \mapsto Qv$ is a Riemannian submersion.

Similarly, if $G(k,n)$ is given the Riemannian metric defined above, it is easy to verify that the quotient map $O(n) \to G(k,n)$ is a Riemannian submersion. We also define a Riemannian metric on the Stiefel manifold $S(k,m) := \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times k} \mid Q^TQ = \mathbf{1}\}$ for $1 \le k \le m$ such that

$O(m) \to S(k,m)$, $Q = [q_1, \ldots, q_m] \mapsto [q_1, \ldots, q_k]$ is a Riemannian submersion, where q_i denotes the ith column of Q. The following is well known [36, Thm. 2.1.15, Cor. 2.1.16]:

$$|O(k)| = \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{k^2+k}{4}}}{\Gamma(k/2)\Gamma((k-1)/2)\cdots\Gamma(1/2)} = \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{k^2}{2}}}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}, \quad |S(k,m)| = \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{km}{2}}}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}. \tag{2.10}$$

In particular, we note that $|S^{k-1}| = 2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}/\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$.

The unitary group $U(k) := \{Q \in \mathbb{C}^{k\times k} \mid Q^*Q = \mathbf{1}\}$ is a compact smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{k\times k}$. Its tangent space at $\mathbf{1}$ is given by the space of skew-hermitian matrices

$$T_{\mathbf{1}}U(k) = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{k\times k} \mid A^* + A = 0\}.$$

We define an inner product on $T_{\mathbf{1}}U(k)$ by setting for $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}]$:

$$\langle A, B\rangle := \sum_i \Im(a_{ii})\Im(b_{ii}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} a_{ij}\bar{b}_{ij} = \sum_i \Im(a_{ii})\Im(b_{ii}) + \sum_{i<j} a_{ij}\bar{b}_{ij}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\Im(z)$ denotes the imaginary part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We extend this to a Riemannian metric on $U(k)$ by requiring that the left-multiplications are isometries and call the resulting metric the canonical one. It is important to realize that this metric is essentially different from the Riemannian metric on $U(k)$ that is induced by the Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{C}^{k\times k}$. (The reason is the contribution in (2.11) from the imaginary elements on the diagonal; in the analogous situation of the orthogonal group, the Riemannian metrics differ by a constant factor only.) The reason to select the canonical metric is that for v in the unit sphere $S(\mathbb{C}^k)$ of $\mathbb{C}^k$, the orbit map $U(k) \to S(\mathbb{C}^k)$, $Q \mapsto Qv$ is a Riemannian submersion. This implies $|U(k)| = |U(k-1)| \cdot |S(\mathbb{C}^k)|$ and we obtain from this:

$$|U(k)| = \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{k^2+k}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} i!}. \tag{2.12}$$

We also state the following well known formulas:

$$|G(k,n)| = \frac{|O(n)|}{|O(k)| \cdot |O(n-k)|}, \quad |G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)| = \frac{|U(n)|}{|U(k)| \cdot |U(n-k)|}. \tag{2.13}$$

2.8. **Some useful estimates.** We define $\rho_k := \mathbb{E}\,\|X\|$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a standard normal Gaussian k-vector. It is well known that:

$$\rho_k = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})}. \tag{2.14}$$

E.g., $\rho_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. For the following estimate see [9, Lemma 2.25]:

$$\sqrt{\frac{k}{k+1}} \cdot \sqrt{k} \;\leq\; \rho_k \;\leq\; \sqrt{k}. \tag{2.15}$$

Hence ρ_k is asymptotically equal to $\sqrt{k}$.

Lemma 2.9. *For fixed k and $n \to \infty$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \log|G(k,n)| &= -\frac{1}{2}kn\log n + \frac{1}{2}kn\log(2e\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\log n), \\ \log|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)| &= -kn\log n + kn\log(e\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\log n), \\ \log\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) &= kn\log k + \mathcal{O}(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (2.13) we have $|G(k,n)| = \frac{|O(n)|}{|O(k)|\cdot|O(n-k)|}$. From (2.10) we get:

$$\frac{|O(n)|}{|O(n-k)|} = 2^k \pi^{\frac{kn}{2}+\frac{k-k^2}{4}} \cdot \prod_{j=n-k+1}^{n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(j/2)}.$$

Consequently, using the asymptotic $\log\Gamma(x) = x\log x - x + \mathcal{O}(\log x)$ as $x\to\infty$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\log\frac{|O(n)|}{|O(n-k)|} &= \frac{1}{2}kn\log\pi - k\log\Gamma(n/2) + \mathcal{O}(\log n).\\ &= \frac{1}{2}kn\log\pi - k\left(\frac{n}{2}\log\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - \frac{n}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\log n)\\ &= \frac{kn}{2}\log\pi - \frac{1}{2}kn\log n + \frac{1}{2}kn\log 2 + \frac{1}{2}kn + \mathcal{O}(\log n),\end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion on $\log|G(k,n)|$ follows.

For $|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|$ we argue similarly. Using (2.12), we get:

$$\frac{|U(n)|}{|U(n-k)|} = 2^k \pi^{kn+\frac{k-k^2}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(n-k+i)!}.$$

and the assertion on $\log|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|$ follows.

The third assertion follows easily from the formula for $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ in Corollary 4.15. □

3. Integral geometry of real Grassmannians

3.1. **Joint density of principal angles between random subspaces.** Let $A \simeq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $B \simeq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be two subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with corresponding orthonormal bases $(a_1,\dots,a_k)$ and $(b_1,\dots,b_\ell)$. By slightly abusing notation we denote by $A = [a_1,\dots,a_k]$ and $B = [b_1,\dots,b_\ell]$ also the matrices with the columns a_i and b_j, respectively. By the singular value decomposition theorem, there exist $U \in O(k)$ and $V \in O(\ell)$ such that:

$$U^T A^T B V = \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

where $D = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_r)$ and $r = \min\{k,\ell\}$; we adopt the convention $1 \geq \sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$. We recall that the *principal angles* $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_r \leq \pi/2$ between A and B are defined by $\sigma_i = \cos\theta_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,r$. Clearly, they are independent of the choice of the orthonormal bases. We note that $m := \dim(A\cap B)$ equals the number of zero principal angles between A and B.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist orthonormal bases $(a_1,\dots,a_k)$ and $(b_1,\dots,b_\ell)$ of A and B, respectively, such that $a_i = b_i$ for $i \leq m$ and $\langle a_i, b_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}\cos\theta_i$ for all i and j.*

Proof. Put $C := A\cap B$. If $C = 0$, then the columns of the matrices AU and BV satisfy the desired property. In the general case, we apply this argument to the orthogonal complement of C in A and B. □

The following theorem is a generalization of [1, Equation (3)]. A different, self-contained proof can be found in [2, Appendix D.3]. We postpone the proof to the Appendix 7.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \leq \ell$ and $k+\ell \leq n$. We fix an ℓ-dimensional subspace $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and sample $A \in G(k,n)$ with respect to to the uniform distribution. Then the joint probability density of the principal angles $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_k \leq \pi/2$ between A and B is given by*

$$p(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_k) = c_{k,l,n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\cos\theta_j)^{l-k} (\sin\theta_j)^{n-l-k} \prod_{i<j} \left((\cos\theta_i)^2 - (\cos\theta_j)^2\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$c_{k,l,n} := \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \Gamma_k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\Gamma_k\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)\Gamma_k\left(\frac{n-l}{2}\right)}.$$

Example 3.3. For a fixed 2-plane $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$, the joint density of the principal angles $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \pi/2$ between B and a uniform $A \in G(2,4)$ is given by $p(\theta_1,\theta_2) = 2((\cos\theta_1)^2 - (\cos\theta_2)^2)$ and we can easily verify that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2}\int_{\theta_1}^{\pi/2} 2\left((\cos\theta_1)^2 - (\cos\theta_2)^2\right) d\theta_2 d\theta_1 = 1.$$

More generally, the joint density $p(\theta_1,\theta_2)$ of the principal angles $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \pi/2$ between a random 2-plane in $G(2,n+1)$ and a fixed subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of dimension $n-1$ is given by

$$p(\theta_1,\theta_2) = (n-1)(n-2)(\cos\theta_1)^{n-3}(\cos\theta_2)^{n-3}\left((\cos\theta_1)^2 - (\cos\theta_2)^2\right).$$

3.2. **Subvarieties of Grassmannians with transitive action on tangent spaces.** The orthogonal group $O(n)$ acts transitively and isometrically on $G(k,n)$. For an element $g \in O(n)$, let $g_*\colon G(k,n) \to G(k,n)$ denote the corresponding action and $D_A g_*\colon T_A G(k,n) \to T_{g_*(A)}G(k,n)$ its derivative at $A \in G(k,n)$. See §2.2 for the definition of generic points.

Definition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq G(k,n)$ be a semialgebraic set. We say that $\mathcal{Z}$ has* transitive action on its tangent spaces *if, for generic regular points A_1, A_2 of $\mathcal{Z}$, there exists $g \in O(n)$ such that $g_*(A_1) = A_2$ and $D_A g_*(T_{A_1}\mathcal{Z}) = T_{A_2}\mathcal{Z}$.*

Example 3.5. Consider the subvariety $\Omega := \{A \in G(k,n) \mid \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}\}$, which is isomorphic to a real projective line. For determining the tangent space of Ω at A we can assume w.l.og. that $A = \mathbb{R}^k$. Then the tangent vectors $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, A^\perp)$ to Ω are characterized by $\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{k-1}) = 0$ and $\alpha(e_k) \in \mathbb{R}e_{k+1}$, so that $T_A\Omega = \mathbb{R}\, e_k \otimes e_{k+1}$. This implies that Ω has transitive action on its tangent spaces.

Tangent spaces of Grassmannians have a product structure: in fact we have a canonical isometry (cf. §2.1):

$$T_A G(k,n) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(A, A^\perp) \simeq A \otimes A^\perp$$

and note that $\dim A = k$ and $\dim A^\perp = n-k$.

We will focus on a special type of hypersurfaces, whose normal spaces exploit the product structure of $T_A G(k,n)$.

Definition 3.6. *A semialgebraic subset $\mathcal{M} \subseteq G(k,n)$ of codimension one is called a* coisotropic hypersurface*, if, for generic points $A \in \mathcal{M}$, the normal space $N_A\mathcal{M}$ is spanned by a rank one vector (see* [21, §4.3] *and* [28]*).*

Lemma 3.7. *A coisotropic hypersurface has transitive action on its tangent spaces.*

Proof. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ be regular points. By assumption, there are unit length vectors $u_i \in A$ and $v_i \in A^\perp$ for $i = 1,2$ such that $N_{A_1}\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}u_1 \otimes v_1$ and $N_{A_2}\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}u_2 \otimes v_2$. There is $g \in O(n)$ such that $g(A_1) = A_2$, $g(u_1) = u_2$, and $g(v_1) = v_2$. Let $g_*\colon G(k,n) \to G(k,n)$ denote the multiplication with g. Then $D_{A_1}g_*$ maps $N_{A_1}\mathcal{M}$ to $N_{A_2}\mathcal{M}$, and hence it maps $T_{A_1}\mathcal{M}$ to $T_{A_2}\mathcal{M}$ (compare §2.1). □

Remark 3.8. Proposition 4.6 below implies that codimension one Schubert varieties (and more generally Chow hypersurfaces) have transitive actions on their tangent space. One can show that the same is true for all Schubert varieties. This shows that the method of this paper in

principle extends to include random intersections of general Schubert varieties, as we plan to discuss in a future work.

The integral geometry formula to be discussed involves a certain average scaling factor between random subspaces, that we introduce next.

3.3. **Relative position of real subspaces.** The relative position of two subspaces V, W of a Euclidean vector space E can be quantified by a volume like quantity that we define now. This quantity is crucial in the study of integral geometry in homogeneous spaces (see [25]).

3.3.1. *Relative position of two real subspaces.* Suppose that E is a Euclidean vector space of dimension n. We have an induced inner product on $\Lambda(E)$, cf. §2.1. Let V, W be linear subspaces of E of dimensions k, m, respectively, such that $k + m \leq n$. We define the following quantity:

$$\sigma(V, W) := \|v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k \wedge w_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge w_m\|, \tag{3.3}$$

where $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ are orthonormal bases of V and W, respectively. (This is clearly independent of the choice of the orthonormal bases.) Note that if $k = m$ and oriented versions of V and W are interpreted as simple k-vectors in $\Lambda^k(E)$, then $\sigma(V, W)$ is the sine of the angle between V and W. So the quantity $\sigma(V, W)$ in a sense measures the relative position of V, W. We can make this more precise by expressing $\sigma(V, W)$ in terms of the principal angles between V and W.

Lemma 3.9. *The following is true:*

(1) $\sigma(W, V) = \sigma(V, W)$.
(2) $\sigma(V, W) = \sin\theta_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \sin\theta_k$ *if* $k \leq m$ *and the* θ_i *are the principal angles between* V *and* W.
(3) $0 \leq \sigma(V, W) \leq 1$.
(4) *We have* $\sigma(V, W) = 0$ *iff* $V \cap W \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma(V, W) = 1$ *iff* V *and* W *are orthogonal.*

Proof. The first assertion is obvious. For the second we use the well known fact (cf. [8, Corollary 2.4]) that there is an orthonormal basis $e_1, f_1, \ldots, e_k, f_k, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-2k}$ of E such that V is the span of $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ and

$$W = \operatorname{span}\{e_1 \cos\theta_1 + f_1 \sin\theta_1, \ldots, e_k \cos\theta_k + f_k \sin\theta_k, g_1, \ldots, g_{m-k}\}.$$

This implies the second claim. The remaining assertions follow immediately from this. □

3.3.2. *Relative position of two complex subspaces.* We briefly discuss how to extend the previous discussion to complex spaces. Suppose that F is a hermitian vector space with $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F$ and $V, W \subseteq F$ are $\mathbb{C}$-subspaces of $\mathbb{C}$-dimensions k and m, respectively. We note that an analogue of Lemma 3.9 holds. As in (3.3) we define $\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W) := \|v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k \wedge w_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge w_m\|$ where $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ are orthonormal bases of V and W, respectively. On the other hand, we can view F as a Euclidean vector space of dimension $2n$ with respect to the induced inner product $\langle v, w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} := \Re\langle v, w\rangle$. Then $v_1, iv_1, \ldots, v_k, iv_k$ is an orthonormal basis of V, seen as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space (note that v and iv are orthogonal for all $v \in F$). We conclude that

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W) = \sigma(V, W)^2.$$

3.3.3. *Average relative position of two subspaces in a tensor product.* We assume now that E is a product $E = E_1 \otimes E_2$ of Euclidean vector spaces E_i such that $\langle x \otimes y, x' \otimes y'\rangle = \langle x, x'\rangle\langle y, y'\rangle$ for $x, x' \in E_1$, $y, y' \in E_2$. The product of orthogonal groups $K := O(E_1) \times O(E_2)$ acts isometrically on E. Clearly, K is a compact group with a uniform invariant measure.

If V and W are given linear subspaces of E, we measure their average relative position by moving V and W with uniformly random transformations $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and taking the average value of $\sigma(k_1 V, k_2 W)$. Of course, it suffices to move one of the subspaces.

Definition 3.10. *Let V and W be linear subspaces of E. The* average scaling factor *of V and W is defined as $\bar{\sigma}(V,W) := \mathbb{E}_{k\in K}\sigma(kV,W)$, taken over a uniform $k \in K = O(E_1)\times O(E_2)$.*

From the definition it is clear that $\sigma(V,W)$ only depends on the K-orbits of V and W, respectively.

Remark 3.11. In many cases, V and W factor themselves into subspaces as $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$ and $W = W_1 \otimes W_2$. In this case, it is clear that $\bar{\sigma}(V,W)$ only depends on the dimensions of the involved spaces V_i, W_i, E_i, $i = 1, 2$.

3.4. **Intersecting random semialgebraic subsets of Grassmannians.** We now provide tailor made formulations of general results in Howard [25] in the situation of real Grassmannians.

3.4.1. *Intersecting two random semialgebraic sets.* We begin with a general obervation. Let $A \in G(k,n)$ be the subspace spanned by the first k standard basis vectors and note that the tangent space $E := T_A G(k,n) \simeq A \otimes A^\perp$ can be seen as a tensor product of Euclidean vector spaces. The stabilizer subgroup of A in $O(n)$ can be identified with $K := O(A)\times O(A^\perp)$ and acts on $T_A G(k,n)$. Recall that $D_A g_* \colon T_A G(k,n) \to T_A G(k,n)$ denotes the derivative of the action $g_* \colon G(k,n) \to G(k,n)$ induced by $g \in O(n)$. Moreover, $N_A\mathcal{M} \subseteq T_A G(k,n)$ denotes the normal subspace of a semialgebraic subset $\mathcal{M} \subseteq G(k,n)$ at a regular point A.

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a semialgebraic subset of $G(k,n)$ with transitive action on its tangent spaces. Let $B_i \in \mathcal{M}$ be generic regular points of $\mathcal{M}$ and $g_i \in O(n)$ such that B_i is mapped to A, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $D_{B_1} g_{1*}(N_{B_1}\mathcal{M})$ and $D_{B_2} g_{2*}(N_{B_1}\mathcal{M})$ are subspace of $T_A G(k,n)$ that lie in the same K-orbit.*

Proof. There is $h \in O(n)$ such that $hB_1 = B_2$ and $D_{B_1}h_*$ maps $T_{B_1}\mathcal{M}$ to $T_{B_2}\mathcal{M}$, since $\mathcal{M}$ has transitive action on its tangent spaces. We have $g_1 = k g_2 h$, where $k := g_1(g_2 h)^{-1} \in K$. Moreover,

$$D_{B_1} g_{1*}(T_{B_1}\mathcal{M}) = D_A k_*(D_{B_2} g_{2*}(D_{B_1} h_*(T_{B_1}\mathcal{M}))) = D_A k_*(D_{B_2} g_{2*}(T_{B_2}\mathcal{M})).$$

Therefore, $D_A k_*$, which can be seen as an element of K, maps $D_{B_2} g_{2*}(N_{B_2}\mathcal{M})$ to $D_{B_1} g_{1*}(N_{B_1}\mathcal{M})$. □

We can now define an important quantity that enters the integral geometry formula to be discussed.

Definition 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be semialgebraic subsets of $G(k,n)$ with transitive action on their tangent spaces. We pick a regular point B of $\mathcal{M}$, a regular point C of $\mathcal{N}$, and $g, h \in O(n)$ such that $g(B) = A$ and $h(C) = A$. Then we define $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}) := \bar{\sigma}\Big(D_B g_*(N_B\mathcal{M}), D_C h_*(N_C\mathcal{N})\Big)$.*

It is important to note that $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ does not depend on the choice of the regular points B, C and the maps g, h and hence is well defined. This is a consequence of Lemma 3.12.

Remark 3.14. Suppose that the normal spaces $N_B\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ at regular points B of $\mathcal{M}$ are tensor products $N_B\mathcal{M} = V_B \otimes W_B$, with subspaces $V_B \subseteq B$ and $W_B \subseteq B^\perp$ of a fixed dimension μ_1 and μ_2 respectively. Similarly, we assume that at regular points C of $\mathcal{N}$ we have $N_C\mathcal{N} = V'_C \otimes W'_C$ with subspaces $V'_C \subseteq C$ and $W'_C \subseteq C^\perp$ of fixed dimensions ν_1 and ν_2, respectively. Then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ only depends on the dimensions $\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2$ and k, n; see Remark 3.11. For instance, this assumption is satisfied if we are considering semialgebraic subsets of the projective space $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1} = G(1,n)$.

The following result follows by combining Howard [25, Theorem 3.8] with Lemma 3.7.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be semialgebraic subsets of $G(k,n)$ of codimension μ and ν respectively, with transitive action on their tangent spaces. Then we have:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)}|\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathcal{N}| = \overline{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\cdot\frac{1}{|G(k,n)|}\cdot|\mathcal{M}|\cdot|\mathcal{N}|.$$

Here, $|\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathcal{N}|$ denotes the volume in the expected dimension $k(n-k)-\mu-\nu$, $|\mathcal{M}|$ and $|\mathcal{N}|$ denote the volumes in the dimensions of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively.

Remark 3.16. Howard [25, Theorem 3.8] states his result under the assumption of two smooth compact submanifolds of $G(k,n)$ (possibly with boundary). However, the compactness assumption is not needed so that we can apply his result to the regular loci $\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{N})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. For this, note that the singular locus $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M})$ has dimension strictly smaller than $\mathcal{M}$ (see §2.2). Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_{g\in G}|\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathcal{N}| = \mathbb{E}_{g\in G}|\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{M})\cap g\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{N})|$ since, almost surely, the dimension of the difference $(\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathcal{N})\setminus(\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{M})\cap g\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{N}))$ is strictly smaller than the typical dimension of $\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathcal{N}$.

Example 3.17. Here is a typical application of Theorem 3.15 in the special case $G(1,n)=\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$. It is clear that any semialgebraic subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $G(1,n)$ has transitive action on its tangent spaces. Let $m := \dim\mathcal{M}$. Applying Theorem 3.15 to $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N} := \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)}\#(\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}) = \overline{\sigma}\cdot\frac{1}{|G(1,n)|}\cdot|\mathcal{M}|\cdot|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}|$$

where the average scaling factor $\overline{\sigma} := \overline{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ only depends on m and n; see Remark 3.14. In particular, this gives for $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^m$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)}\#(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^m\cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}) = \overline{\sigma}\cdot\frac{1}{|G(1,n)|}\cdot|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^m|\cdot|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}|,$$

the left-hand side of which clearly equals one. Solving this for $\overline{\sigma}$ and plugging it in in the previous equation gives the well known formula (compare [9, A.55])

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)}\#(\mathcal{M}\cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-m-1}) = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^m|}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.4.2. *Intersecting many random coisotropic hypersurfaces.* The previous discussion extends to the situation of intersecting many semialgebraic sets; we focus here on the special case of intersecting coisotropic hypersurfaces in the Grassmannian, which is particularly simple. The general case is discussed in the Appendix.

Let E be a Euclidean vector space of dimension n. Let $V_1,\dots,V_s$ be linear subspaces of the dimensions $m_1,\dots,m_s$, respectively, such that $\sum_{j=1}^s m_j \le n$. We define the quantity

$$\sigma(V_1,\dots,V_s) := \|v_{11}\wedge\ldots\wedge v_{1m_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge v_{s1}\wedge\ldots\wedge w_{sm_s}\|, \tag{3.5}$$

where $v_{j1},\dots,v_{jm_j}$ are orthonormal bases of V_j. (This is clearly independent of the choice of the orthonormal bases.) Note that $\sigma(V_1,\dots,V_s)\le 1$. In the special case where $m_j=1$ for all j, we can interpret $\sigma(V_1,\dots,V_s)$ as the volume of the parallelepiped spanned by the unit vectors $v_{11},\dots,v_{s1}$. In order to deal with the coisotropic case, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.18. *For $k,m\ge 1$ we define the* (real) average scaling factor $\alpha(k,m)$ *as*

$$\alpha(k,m) := \mathbb{E}\,\|(u_1\otimes v_1)\wedge\ldots\wedge(u_{km}\otimes v_{km})\|,$$

where $u_j\in S(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $v_j\in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$, for $1\le j\le km$, are independently and uniformly chosen at random.

Note that $\alpha(k,m)$ is nothing but the generalization to the case of many coisotropic submanifolds of the quantity $\overline{\sigma}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$ introduced in Definition 3.13. It has the special property that in the coisotropic case, it does not depend on the choice of the hypersurfaces; compare Remark 3.14.

We will need the following result in the spirit of Theorem 3.15 about intersecting codimension many coisotropic hypersurfaces in $G(k,n)$.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $\mathcal{H}_1,\dots,\mathcal{H}_N$ be coisotropic hypersurfaces of $G(k,n)$, where $N := k(n-k)$. Then we have:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(g_1,\dots,g_N)\in O(n)^N} \#\left(g_1\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_N\mathcal{H}_N\right) dg_1\cdots dg_N = \alpha(k,n-k)\cdot|G(k,n)|\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{|\mathcal{H}_i|}{|G(k,n)|}.$$

This theorem is a consequence of a generalized Poincaré formula in homogeneous spaces, stated as Theorem 7.2 in the Appendix 7.5. Its statement and proof is very similar to Howard [25, Theorem 3.8]. Since we have been unable to locate this result in the literature, we provide a proof in the Appendix.

4. Probabilistic enumerative geometry

4.1. **Special Schubert varieties.** By the *special real Schubert variety* associated with a linear subspace $B \in G(n-k,n)$ we understand the subvariety

$$\Omega(B) := \big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid A \cap B \neq 0\big\}.$$

If the dependence on B is not relevant, which is mostly the case, we write $\Sigma(k,n) := \Omega(B)$. The Schubert cell associated with B is the open subset

$$e(B) := \big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid \dim(A\cap B) = 1\big\}$$

of $\Omega(B)$. It is a well known fact that $e(B)$ is the regular locus of $\Omega(B)$, e.g., see [35]. Moreover, $e(B)$ is a hypersurface in $G(k,n)$. Its normal spaces can be described by the following result, which is a special case of [42, §2.7].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in e(B)$ and $a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k$ be an orthonormal basis of A such that $A\cap B = \mathbb{R}a_1$. Moreover, let $(A+B)^\perp = \mathbb{R}f$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Then $\alpha \in T_A\mathrm{Hom}(A,A^\perp)$ lies in the normal space $N_A\Omega(B)$ iff $\alpha(a_1) \in \mathbb{R}f$ and $\alpha(a_i) = 0$ for $i > 1$. In other words, $f\wedge a_2\wedge\cdots\wedge a_k$ spans the normal space $N_A\Omega(B)$, when interpreted as a subspace of $\Lambda^k\mathbb{R}^n$ as in §2.1.*

4.2. **Volume of special Schubert varieties.** We can determine now the volume of the special Schubert varieties $\Sigma(k,n)$. It is remarkable that the result is completely analogous to the corresponding result (2.6) over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 4.2. *The volume of the special Schubert variety $\Sigma(k,n)$ satisfies:*

$$\frac{|\Sigma(k,n)|}{|G(k,n)|} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)} = \pi\cdot\frac{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{k-1}|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{k}|}\cdot\frac{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k}|}.$$

Proof. The isometry $G(k,n)\to G(n-k,n)$, $A\mapsto A^\perp$ maps $\Omega(B)$ to $\Omega(B^\perp)$. Therefore, we may assume that $k \le n/2$ without loss of generality.

The proof relies on a general principle. Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold, H be a smooth hypersurface of M, and K be a nonempty compact subset of H. We define the *ϵ-tube* $\mathcal{T}^\perp(K,\epsilon)$ of K in M by

$$\mathcal{T}^\perp(K,\epsilon) := \big\{\exp_x(\nu) \mid x\in K,\ \nu\in N_xH,\ \|\nu\|\le\varepsilon\big\},$$

where $\exp_x\colon T_xM\to M$ denotes the exponential map of M at x and N_xH is the (one dimensional) orthogonal complement of the tangent space T_xH in T_xM; cf. [9, §21.2] and [45]. Let

$m := \dim H$. The m-dimensional volume $|K|$ of K can be computed from the $m+1$-dimensional volumes of the tubes $\mathcal{T}^\perp(K,\epsilon)$ as follows (see [22]):

$$|K| = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon}\,|\mathcal{T}^\perp(K,\epsilon)|. \tag{4.1}$$

We shall apply this formula to a Schubert cell $H = e(B)$ for a fixed $B \in G(n-k,n)$ in the Grassmann manifold $M = G(k,n)$ and K belonging to an increasing family of compact sets exhausting $e(B)$ (see below).

Let us denote by $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \colon G(k,n) \to \mathbb{R}$ the functions giving, respectively, the smallest and the second smallest principal angle between $A \in G(k,n)$ and the fixed B. We note that $e(B) = \{A \in G(k,n) \mid \vartheta_1(A) = 0\}$. For $0 < \delta < \pi/2$, we consider the following compact subset of $e(B)$:

$$e(B)_\delta := \big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid \vartheta_1(A) = 0,\ \vartheta_2(A) \geq \delta\big\}.$$

Note that $e(B)_{\delta_2} \subseteq e(B)_{\delta_1}$ if $\delta_1 < \delta_2$ and $e(B) = \bigcup_{\delta>0} e(B)_\delta$. Therefore, we have $|\Omega(B)| = |e(B)| = \lim_{\delta\to 0} |e(B)_\delta|$. Combining this with (4.1), we can write the volume of the Schubert variety as the double limit

$$|\Omega(B)| = \lim_{\delta\to 0} |e(B)_\delta| = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon}\,|\mathcal{T}^\perp(e(B)_\delta,\epsilon)|. \tag{4.2}$$

We need the following technical result, whose proof will be provided in Appendix 7.2.

Lemma 4.3. *In the above situation, we have for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta < \pi/2$ that*

$$\mathcal{T}^\perp(e(B)_\delta,\epsilon) = \big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid \vartheta_1(A) \leq \epsilon,\ \vartheta_2(A) \geq \delta\big\}.$$

Let now $p(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_k)$ denote the joint density of the (ordered) principal angles $\theta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_k$ between $A \in G(k,n)$ and B, as in Theorem 3.2. Due to Lemma 4.3 we can write for $\epsilon \leq \delta < \pi/2$,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{T}^\perp(e(B)_\delta,\epsilon))|}{|G(k,n)|} = \mathrm{Prob}\big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid \vartheta_1(A) \leq \epsilon,\ \vartheta_2(A) \geq \delta\big\} = \int_{R(\epsilon,\delta)} p(\theta)\,d\theta,$$

where Prob refers to the uniform distribution on $G(k,n)$ and

$$R(\epsilon,\delta) := \big\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid 0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \ldots \leq \theta_k \leq \pi/2,\ 0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \epsilon,\ \delta \leq \theta_2\big\}.$$

Thus, from (4.2) we see that

$$\frac{|\Omega(B)|}{|G(k,n)|} = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{R(\epsilon,\delta)} p(\theta)\,d\theta,$$

and we have reduced the problem to the evaluation of the last limit. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{R(\epsilon,\delta)} p(\theta)\,d\theta &= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon \left(\int_{\theta_1\leq\delta\leq\theta_2\leq\ldots\leq\theta_k\leq\pi/2} p(\theta)\,d\theta_2\cdots d\theta_k\right) d\theta_1 \\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0}\int_{0\leq\delta\leq\theta_2\leq\ldots\leq\theta_k\leq\pi/2} p(0,\theta_2,\dots,\theta_k)\,d\theta_2\cdots d\theta_k \\
&= \int_{0\leq\theta_2\leq\ldots\leq\theta_k\leq\pi/2} p(0,\theta_2,\dots,\theta_k)\,d\theta_2\cdots d\theta_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 on the joint density p gives

$$\begin{aligned}
p(0,\theta_2,\dots,\theta_k) &= c_{k,n-k,n}\cdot\prod_{j=2}^k(\cos\theta_j)^{n-2k}\prod_{j=2}^k\big(1-(\cos\theta_j)^2\big)\prod_{2\leq i<j\leq k}\big((\cos\theta_i)^2-(\cos\theta_j)^2\big) \\
&= \frac{c_{k,n-k,n}}{c_{k-1,n-k+1,n}}\cdot\tilde{p}(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}(\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k)$ is the joint density of the principal angles between a random $(k-1)$-plane and a fixed $(n-k-1)$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We conclude that

$$\frac{|\Omega(B)|}{|G(k,n)|} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{c_{k,n-k,n}}{c_{k-1,n-k-1,n}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)},$$

where the last equality follows by a tedious calculation after plugging in the formulas for the constants $c_{k,n-k,n}$ and $c_{k-1,n-k-1,n}$ from Theorem 3.2. □

4.3. **Chow hypersurfaces.** We generalize now the definition of special Schubert varieties of codimension one. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ be a semialgebraic subset of dimension $n-k-1$. We associate with X the set of $(k-1)$-dimensional projective subspaces of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ that intersect X. We interpret this as a subset of $G(k,n)$ and define

$$Z(X) := \{A \in G(k,n) \,|\, \mathbb{P}(A) \cap X \neq \emptyset\} .$$

In analogy with the situation over $\mathbb{C}$, we call $Z(X)$ the *Chow hypersurface* associated with X. (The fact that $Z(X)$ is a hypersurface, i.e., a semialgebraic subset of codimension one, will be proved in Lemma 4.5 below.) We note that $Z(X) = \Omega(B)$ if X is projective linear and B the corresponding linear space.

Example 4.4. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^3$ be the real twisted cubic, i.e., the image of the map $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^1 \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^3, (s:t) \mapsto (s^3 : s^2t : st^2 : t^3)$. We claim that $Z(X)$ is *not an algebraic subset* of $G(2,4)$. For seeing this, we intersect the affine part $X_{\mathrm{aff}} := \{(t, t^2, t^3) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of X with the open subset $U := \{L_{u_1u_2v_1v_2} \mid (u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4\} \subseteq G(2,4)$ of lines

$$L_{u_1u_2v_1v_2} := \Big\{(0,u_1,u_2) + s(1,v_1,v_2) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We have

$$X_{\mathrm{aff}} \cap U \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \exists t \in \mathbb{R} \quad t^2 - v_1t - u_1 = 0,\ t^3 - v_2t - u_2 = 0.$$

The right-hand condition is equivalent to $v_2^2 + 4u_2 \geq 0, \mathrm{res} = 0$, where

$$\mathrm{res} := u_1v_1^2v_2 - u_2v_1^3 - u_1^3 + 2u_1^2v_2 - 3u_1u_2v_1 - u_1v_2^2 + u_2v_1v_2 + u_2^2 = 0,$$

is the resultant of the above quadratic and cubic equation. Intersecting with the 2-plane $u_2 = v_2 = 0$ gives $\{(u_2,v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v_2^2 + 4u_2 \geq 0\}$, which is not algebraic.

It is essential that the tangent spaces of Chow hypersurfaces coincide with the tangent spaces of closely related special Schubert varieties. We postpone the somewhat technical proof to Appendix 7.3.

Lemma 4.5. *The Chow hypersurface $Z(X) \subseteq G(k,n)$ is semialgebraic of codimension one; if X is compact, then $Z(X)$ is compact and if X is connected, then $Z(X)$ is connected. Moreover, for generic points $A \in Z(X)$ the following is true: the intersection $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap X$ consists of one point only; let us denote this point by p; then p is a smooth point of X and, denoting by $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the linear space of dimension $n-k$ corresponding to the tangent space T_pX, we have:*

$$T_AZ(X) = T_A\Omega(B). \tag{4.3}$$

The following result makes sure that we can apply the methods from integral geometry to Chow hypersurfaces.

Proposition 4.6. *Chow hypersurfaces are coisotropic and hence have transitive action on their tangent spaces. In particular, this applies to special Schubert varieties of codimension one.*

Proof. For codimension one special Schubert varieties, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1. The assertion on Chow hypersurfaces is a consequence of Lemma 4.5. □

Remark 4.7. Special Schubert varieties of higher codimension are defined by $\Omega(B,m) := \big\{A \in G(k,n) \mid \dim(A \cap B) \geq m\big\}$, where B is an ℓ-dimensional subspace such that $k+\ell-n \leq m \leq \ell$. The codimension of $\Omega(B,m)$ equals $m(n+m-k-\ell)$. For incorporating touching conditions, one can extend the definition of the Chow hypersurface and study *higher associated semialgebraic sets*,

$$Z_m(X) := \{A \in G(k,n) \,|\, \exists p \in \mathrm{Reg}(X) \;\; \dim T_pX \cap T_p(\mathbb{P}(A)) \geq m\}.$$

This is done in [21, §3.2, §4.3], [47], and [28] for *complex* projective varieties X in the case $\dim X = n-k-1+m$, where $Z_m(X)$ typically is a hypersurface. Note that $Z_0(X) = Z(X)$. The proof of Proposition 4.6 generalizes in a straightforward way to show that $\Omega(B,m)$ and $Z_m(X)$ have transitive action on their tangent spaces.

As an application, we derive the following result that relates the volume of a semialgebraic subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$ with the volume of the associated Chow hypersurface $Z(X) \subseteq G(k,n)$. (This is analogous to equation (2.7) holding over $\mathbb{C}$.)

Proposition 4.8. *Let X be a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$. Then we have:*

$$\frac{|Z(X)|}{|\Sigma(k,n)|} = \frac{|X|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\dim X}|}.$$

Proof. By (3.4) we can express the volume ratio $|X|/|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{\dim X}|$ as the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)} \#(X \cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^k)$. Consider now the subvariety $\Omega := \{A \in G(k,n) \mid \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}\}$ from Example 3.5, which has transitive action on its tangent spaces. For almost all g, we have a finite intersection $X \cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^k = \{p_1, \ldots, p_d\}$. On the other hand, $A \in g\Omega$ implies $A \subseteq g\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, hence $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap X = \{p_1, \ldots, p_d\}$. Therefore, we have for almost all g,

$$\#(X \cap g\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^k) = \#(Z(X) \cap g\Omega),$$

and it remains to determine the expectation of the right-hand side.

The Chow hypersurface $Z(X)$ has transitive action on its tangent spaces by Proposition 4.6. Applying Theorem 3.15 to $Z(X)$ and Ω, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)} \#(Z(X) \cap g\Omega) = \overline{\sigma}(Z(X),\Omega) \cdot \frac{1}{|G(k,n)|} \cdot |Z(X)| \cdot |\Omega|.$$

Applying this formula to a linear space X yields:

$$\mathbb{E}_{g\in O(n)} \#(\Sigma(k,n) \cap g\Omega) = \overline{\sigma}(\Sigma(k,n),\Omega) \cdot \frac{1}{|G(k,n)|} \cdot |\Sigma(k,n)| \cdot |\Omega|. \tag{4.4}$$

However, it is clear that the left-hand side equals one. According to Definition 3.13, the average scaling factor $\overline{\sigma}(Z(X),\Omega)$ is defined in terms of tangent (or normal) spaces of $\sigma(Z(X))$ and Ω. Taking into account Lemma 4.5, we see that

$$\overline{\sigma}(Z(X),\Omega) = \overline{\sigma}(\Sigma(k,n),\Omega),$$

hence we can solve for this quantity and plugging this into (4.4) yields the assertion. □

4.4. **Random incidence geometry.** As already indicated in the introduction, we study the following problem. We fix semialgebraic subsets $X_1, \ldots, X_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of the same dimension $\dim X_i = n-k-1$, where $0 < k < n$ and $N := k(n-k)$ and we ask how many $(k-1)$-dimensional projective linear subspaces intersect all random translations of the $X_1, \ldots, X_{k(n-k)}$. More specifically, the task is to determine the expectation $\mathbb{E}\,\#\left(g_1Z(X_1) \cap \cdots \cap g_NZ(X_N)\right)$ with respect to independent uniformly distributed $g_i \in O(n)$. In the case where all X_i are linear subspaces, we call the answer the expected degree of $G(k,n)$.

Definition 4.9. *The* expected degree *of $G(k,n)$ is defined as the average number of intersection points of $N = k(n-k)$ many random copies of $\Sigma(k,n)$, i.e.,*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) := \mathbb{E}\,\#(g_1\Sigma(k,n) \cap \ldots \cap g_N\Sigma(k,n))$$

with respect to independent uniformly distributed $g_i \in O(n)$.

We note that $\operatorname{edeg} G(1,n) = 1$. In Theorem 6.5 we will provide an asymptotically sharp upper bound on $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)$.

Theorem 3.19 combined with the fact that $\Sigma(k,n)$ is coisotropic implies (recall that $\alpha(k,n-k)$ was defined in Definition 3.18)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{edeg}(G(k,n)) &= \alpha(k,n-k)\cdot|G(k,n)|\cdot\left(\frac{|\Sigma(k,n)|}{|G(k,n)|}\right)^{k(n-k)} \\ &= \alpha(k,n-k)\cdot|G(k,n)|\cdot\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-k}{2}\right)}\right)^{k(n-k)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where the last line follows from Theorem 4.2. Combining Theorem 3.19 with Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8, we obtain a real version of Equation (1.2)

Theorem 4.10. *Let $X_1,\ldots,X_N$ be semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-k-1$, where $N := k(n-k)$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\,\#\left(g_1Z(X_1)\cap\cdots\cap g_NZ(X_N)\right) = \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{|X_i|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-k-1}|},$$

with respect to independent uniformly distributed $g_1,\ldots,g_N \in O(n)$.

This result allows to decouple a random incidence geometry problem into a volume computation in $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ and the determination of the expected degree of the Grassmann manifold (the "linearized" problem).

4.5. **Classical Schubert calculus revisited.** In Definition 3.18 we defined the *real average scaling factor* $\alpha(k,m)$. Following the reasonings in §3.3.2, it is natural to define its complex variant as follows.

Definition 4.11. *For $k,m \geq 1$ we define the* complex average scaling factor $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,m)$ *as*

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,m) := \mathbb{E}\,\|(u_1\otimes v_1)\wedge\ldots\wedge(u_{km}\otimes v_{km})\|^2$$

where $u_i \in S(\mathbb{C}^k)$ and $v_i \in S(\mathbb{C}^m)$ are independently and uniformly chosen at random, for $i = 1,\ldots,km$.

Unlike for $\alpha(k,m)$, we can give a closed formula for its complex variant $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,m)$.

Proposition 4.12. *We have $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,m) = N!/N^N$, where $N = km$.*

The proof follows immediately from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $Z = [z_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ be a random matrix such that for all i,j,k*

$$\mathbb{E}\,|z_{ij}|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\,z_{ij}\bar{z}_{ik} = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq k.$$

Then $\mathbb{E}\,|\det Z|^2 = N!/N^N$.

Proof. We have

$$|\det Z|^2 = \sum_{\pi,\sigma\in S_N} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{N} z_{i\pi(i)}\,\bar{z}_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

Taking expectations yields

$$\mathbb{E}\,|\det Z|^2 = \sum_{\pi,\sigma\in S_N} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\, z_{i\pi(i)}\,\bar{z}_{i\sigma(i)} = \sum_{\pi\in S_N} \mathbb{E}\,|z_{i\pi(i)}|^2 = \frac{N!}{N^N},$$

which completes the proof. □

The following theorem is analogous to Theorem 3.19 and can be proved similarly. However, we can easily derive this result from Theorem 2.4, which even shows that the coisotropy assumption is not needed here.

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{H}_1,\dots,\mathcal{H}_N$ be irreducible algebraic hypersurfaces of $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$, where $N := k(n-k)$. Then we have:*

$$\frac{1}{|U(n)|^N}\int_{U(n)^N} \#\left(g_1\mathcal{H}_1\cap\cdots\cap g_N\mathcal{H}_N\right) dg_1\cdots dg_N = \alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n-k)\cdot|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{|\mathcal{H}_i|}{|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, the left-hand side in the assertion of Theorem 4.14 equals $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)\cdot\prod_i \operatorname{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_i$. We analyze now the right-hand side. We note that $|\mathcal{H}_i| = \operatorname{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_i\cdot|\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|$ since the proof of Equation (2.7) works for any irreducible hypersurface in $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$. Using (2.6) we get

$$|\mathcal{H}_i| = \operatorname{rdeg}\mathcal{H}_i\cdot|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|\cdot\frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N}|}.$$

Moreover, $|G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)| = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)\cdot|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N|$ by (2.3). Therefore, it suffices to verify that

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n-k) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N|}\cdot\Big(\frac{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N|}{|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{N-1}|}\Big)^N.$$

This follows from Proposition 4.12 using $|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^N| = \pi^N/N!$. □

This argument showed that complex algebraic geometry implies the integral geometry formula of Theorem 4.14. One can also argue in the reverse direction. For instance, we can give a new, integral geometric derivation of the formula for $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$.

Corollary 4.15. *We have* $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) = \frac{0!1!\cdots(k-1)!}{(n-k)!(n-k+1)!\cdots(n-1)!}\cdot(k(n-k))!$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.14 in the case $Z_i := \Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ and argue similarly as for Theorem 2.4. Let ι denote the Plücker embedding. Then $\iota(\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))$ is obtained by intersecting $\iota(G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n))$ with a hyperplane H_i. The translates $g_1\mathcal{H}_1,\dots,g_N\mathcal{H}_N$ meet transversally for almost all $g_1,\dots,g_n\in U(n)$. (This follows from Sard's Theorem as for [9, Prop. A.18].) By Bézout's theorem, the left-hand side of Theorem 4.14 equals $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$.

From (2.6) we know that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)| = |G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)|\cdot\frac{1}{\pi}\cdot N$ (and we already mentioned that this formula was obtained in the first version of [8] without algebraic geometry). Plugging in the right-hand side of Theorem 4.14 the formula (2.13) for the volume $G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$ and the formula for $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n-k)$ from Proposition 4.12, we obtain after some calculations the claimed expression for $\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)$. □

5. Random convex bodies

In this section we will analyze the average scaling factors $\alpha(k,m)$ using properties of the singular value decomposition and concepts from the theory of random convex bodies.

5.1. **The Segre zonoid.** We consider the embedding $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$, $(u,v) \mapsto uv^T$ and assume $k \le m$. Recall that in §2.6, we associated a zonoid C_Y with a random vector Y.

Definition 5.1. *The* Segre zonoid $C(k,m)$ *is the zonoid associated with the random variable* $xy^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$*, where* $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ *and* $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *are independent and standard Gaussian vectors.*

If we sample instead $x \in S^{k-1}$ and $y \in S^{m-1}$ in the spheres independently and uniformly, then the corresponding zonoid equals $(\rho_k\rho_m)^{-1}C(k,m)$, compare Example 2.7.

Corollary 5.2. *We have*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = |G(k,n)| \cdot \frac{(k(n-k))!}{2^{k(n-k)}} \cdot |C(k,n-k)|.$$

Proof. Recall Equation (4.5), which states:

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \alpha(k,n-k) \cdot |G(k,n)| \cdot \left(\frac{|\Sigma(k,n)|}{|G(k,n)|}\right)^{k(n-k)}.$$

Moreover, Theorem 4.2 states that $\frac{|\Sigma(k,n)|}{|G(k,n)|} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_k \cdot \rho_{n-k}$ (recall the definition of ρ_k in (2.14)). By the definition of $\alpha(k,m)$ (Definition 3.18), Theorem 2.8 (Vitale), the definition of $C(k,m)$ (Definition 5.1), and the comment following it (exchanging Gaussian by uniform distributions on spheres), we obtain

$$\alpha(k,m) = \frac{(km)!}{(\rho_k\rho_m)^{km}} \cdot |C(k,m)|.$$

Combining all this, the assertion follows. □

The group $O(k) \times O(m)$ acts on the space $\mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ of matrices X via $(g,h)X := gXh^T$. It is well known that the orbits under this action are determined by the singular values of X. More specifically, we denote by $\operatorname{sv}(X)$ the list of singular values of X (in any order). Let the matrix $\operatorname{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma)$ be obtained from the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(\sigma)$ by appending a zero matrix of format $k \times (m-k)$. Then X is in the same orbit as $\operatorname{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma)$ iff σ equals $\operatorname{sv}(X)$ up to a permutation and sign changes.

The distribution underlying the definition of the Segre zonoid $C(k,m)$ is $O(k) \times O(m)$-invariant, which implies that $C(k,m)$ is invariant under this action. Therefore, $C(k,m)$ is determined by the following convex set, which we call the *singular value zonoid*:

$$D(k) := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \operatorname{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma) \in C(k,m)\}.$$

We will see in Proposition 5.8 below that $D(k)$ does not depend on m, which justifies the notation. Moreover, $D(k)$ can be realized as a projection of $C(k,m)$, hence it is indeed a zonoid (see Remark 5.9). The *hyperoctahedral group* H_k is the subgroup of $O(k)$ generated by the permutation of the coordinates and by the sign changes $x_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i x_i$, where $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1,1\}$. Clearly, the convex set D_k is invariant under the action of H_k.

We note that $C(k,m)$ is the union of the $O(k) \times O(m)$-orbits of the diagonal matrices $\operatorname{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma \in D(k)$.

We next determine the support function of the convex body $C(k,m)$. By the invariance, this is an $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant function and hence it can only depend on $\mathrm{sv}(X)$. For describing this in detail, we introduce the function $g_k\colon \mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$g_k(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k) := \mathbb{E}\left(\sigma_1^2 z_1^2+\ldots+\sigma_k^2 z_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $z_1,\ldots,z_k$ are i.i.d. standard normal.

Lemma 5.3. *The function g_k is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^k$. It is invariant under permutation of its arguments. If $\|\sigma\|=1$, we have $\rho_1/\sqrt{k}\le g_k(\sigma)\le 1/\sqrt{k}$ and the maximum of g_k on S^{k-1} is attained exactly on the H_k-orbit of the point $\sigma_u := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(1,\ldots,1)$.*

Proof. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives $\sum_i \sigma_i\tau_i z_i^2 \le (\sum_i \sigma_i^2 z_i^2)^{\frac12}\cdot(\sum_i \tau_i^2 z_i^2)^{\frac12}$. This easily implies $g_k(\sigma+\tau)\le g_k(\sigma)+g_k(\tau)$. It follows that g_k is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^k$. The S_k-invariance is clear. Suppose now $\|\sigma\|=1$. Then there exists i with $|\sigma_i|\ge k^{-\frac12}$ and hence $g_k(\sigma)\ge k^{-\frac12}\mathbb{E}\,|z_i| = k^{-\frac12}\rho_1$.

We consider now the auxiliary function $\tilde g_k(\sigma) := \mathbb{E}\,(\sigma_1^2u_1^2+\ldots+\sigma_k^2u_k^2)^{\frac12}$, where $u\in S^{k-1}$ is chosen uniformly at random. Then we have $g_k(\sigma)=\rho_k\tilde g_k(\sigma)$. Thus we need to show that $\max_{\|\sigma\|=1}\tilde g_k(\sigma)=1/\sqrt{k}$, and that the maximum is attained exactly on the H_k-orbit of of σ_u. For this, note first that $\tilde g(\sigma_u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\,\|\sigma_u\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$. Moreover, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\tilde g_k(\sigma)\le\left(\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^2u_i^2\right)^{\frac12}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^2\,\mathbb{E}\,u_i^2\right)^{\frac12}=\frac{\|\sigma\|}{\sqrt{k}},$$

since $\mathbb{E}\,u_1^2=\ldots=\mathbb{E}\,u_k^2=1/k$. Equality holds iff $\sigma_1^2u_1^2+\ldots+\sigma_k^2u_k^2$ is constant for $u\in S^{k-1}$. This is the case iff σ is in the H_k-orbit of of σ_u. □

Remark 5.4. The function $g_k(\sigma)$ can be given the following interpretation in terms of the ellipsoid $E(\sigma):=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^k\mid \sigma_1^2x_1^2+\cdots+\sigma_k^2x_k^2\le 1\}$:

$$g_k(\sigma)=\frac{\rho_k}{k}\cdot\frac{|\partial E(\sigma)|}{|E(\sigma)|}.$$

This follows from [38, eq. (3)]. The *isoperimetric ratio* $\frac{|\partial E(\sigma)|}{|E(\sigma)|}$ can be expressed in terms of certain hypergeometric functions, see [38, eq. (13)].

Lemma 5.5. *The support function of the convex body $C(k,m)$ is given by:*

$$h_{C(k,m)}(X)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,g_k(\mathrm{sv}(X))\qquad \text{for } X\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times m}.$$

Proof. Let h denote the support function of $C(k,m)$ and define $\eta(\sigma):=h(\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma))$. We have $h(X)=\eta(\mathrm{sv}(X))$ since X is in the same orbit as $\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\mathrm{sv}(X))$ and h is $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant. From (2.9) we obtain

$$\eta(\sigma)=\frac12\,\mathbb{E}\left|\langle\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma),xy^T\rangle\right|=\frac12\,\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_ix_iy_i\right|,$$

where $x\in\mathbb{R}^k$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$ are independent standard normal. For fixed x, the random variable $\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_ix_iy_i$ is normal distributed with mean zero and variance $\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^2x_i^2$. Therefore, the expectation of its absolute value equals $(\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^2x_i^2)^{\frac12}\rho_1$, where we recall that $\rho_1=\mathbb{E}\,|z|=\sqrt{2/\pi}$, $z\in\mathbb{R}$ denoting a standard Gaussian. Summarizing, we obtain

$$\eta(\sigma)=\frac12\,\rho_1\,\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^2x_i^2\right)^{\frac12}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,g_k(\sigma),$$

which finishes the proof. □

Corollary 5.6. *The zero matrix is an interior point of $C(k,m)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\min_{\|X\|=1} h_{C(k,m)}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \min_{\|\sigma\|=1} g_k(\sigma) \;\geq\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\rho_1}{\sqrt{k}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Hence $C(k,m)$ contains the ball of radius $\pi^{-1}k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ around the origin. □

We need a convexity property of singular values in the spirit of the Schur-Horn theorem for eigenvalues of symmetric matrices.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$, $k \leq m$, with singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_k \geq 0$. Then the diagonal $(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{kk})$ lies in the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ of the hyperoctahedral group H_k.*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$ be obtained from $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ by selecting the first k columns. Let $\tau_1 \geq \ldots \geq \tau_k \geq 0$ denote the singular values of B. The Courant-Fischer min-max characterization of singular values (e.g., see [48, Exercise 1.3.21]) implies that $\tau_i \leq \sigma_i$ for all i. Hence the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of $\tau := (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ is contained in the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of $\sigma := (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$.

A result by Thompson [49] implies that the diagonal $(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{kk})$ of B lies in the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of τ. Hence it lies in the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of σ. □

We can now prove that the support functions and radial functions of $C(k,m)$ and $D(k)$ are linked in a simple way.

Proposition 5.8. *The following properties hold:*

1. *The support function of $D(k)$ equals $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k$. In particular, $D(k)$ does not depend on m.*
2. *The radial function of $C(k,m)$ is given by $\mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \to \mathbb{R}$, $X \mapsto r_k(\mathrm{sv}(X))$, where r_k denotes the radial function of $D(k)$.*

Proof. (1) We have $\sigma \in D(k)$ iff $\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma) \in C(k,m)$, which, by Lemma 5.5, is equivalent to

$$\forall Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i Y_{ii} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\mathrm{sv}(Y)). \tag{5.1}$$

We need to prove that this is equivalent to

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i \tau_i \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\tau). \tag{5.2}$$

One direction being trivial, assume that σ satisfies (5.2) and let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$. Then we have for all $\pi \in H_k$,

$$\langle \sigma, \pi\mathrm{sv}(Y)\rangle \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\pi\mathrm{sv}(Y)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\mathrm{sv}(Y)).$$

Theorem 5.7 states that $(Y_{11}, \ldots, Y_{kk})$ lies in the convex hull of the H_k-orbit of $\mathrm{sv}(Y)$. Therefore, (5.1) holds, which proves the first assertion.

(2) For the second assertion, let R denote the radial function of $C(k,m)$. By invariance, it is sufficient to prove that $R(\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma)) = r_k(\sigma)$. We have, using the above equivalences,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma)) &= \max\left\{t \mid t\,\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma) \in C(k,m)\right\} \\ &\overset{(5.1)}{=} \max\left\{t \mid \forall Y\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} t\sigma_i Y_{ii} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\mathrm{sv}(Y))\right\} \\ &\overset{(5.2)}{=} \max\left\{t \mid \forall \tau\ \langle t\sigma, \tau\rangle \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k(\tau)\right\} = r_k(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Remark 5.9. Proposition 5.8 easily implies that $D(k)$ is the image of $C(k,m)$ under the projection taking the diagonal of a rectangular matrix:

$$\mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \to \mathbb{R}^k,\ X = (x_{ij}) \mapsto (x_{11}, \ldots, x_{kk}).$$

In particular, $D(k)$ is a zonoid in $\mathbb{R}^k$.

Lemma 5.10. *The maximum of the radial function r_k of $D(k)$ on S^{k-1} equals*

$$R_k := \max_{\|\sigma\|=1} r_k(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{k}}$$

and the maximum is attained exactly on the H_k-orbit of the point $\sigma_u := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(1,\ldots,1)$. In particular, $C(k,m)$ is contained in the ball $B(k,m)$ of radius R_k around in the origin, and this is the ball of smallest radius with this property.

Proof. According to Proposition 5.8, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_k$ is the support function of $D(k)$. According to Lemma 5.3, the maximum of g_k on S^{k-1} is attained exactly on the H_k-orbit of σ_u. We conclude now with Lemma 2.5. □

Remark 5.11. Corollary 5.6 implies that 0 is an interior point of $D(k)$. This can also be seen in a different way as follows. A standard transversality argument shows that $g_1\Sigma(k,n) \cap \ldots \cap g_N\Sigma(k,n) \neq \emptyset$ for all $(g_1,\ldots,g_N)$ in a nonempty open subset of $O(n)^N$; cf. [9, Prop. A.18]. This implies that $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)$ is positive. Via Theorem 5.13 below we conclude that $D(k)$ has full dimension. Since $D(k)$ is symmetric with respect to the origin, it again follows that 0 is an interior point of $D(k)$.

5.2. **Volume of the Segre zonoid.** We keep assuming $k \le m$. We first state how to integrate a $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant function of matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ in terms of their singular values. This is certainly known, but we include the proof for lack of a suitable reference.

Let us denote by $S^{km-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ the unit sphere with respect to the Frobenius norm. Moreover, it will be convenient to abbreviate

$$S^{k-1}_+ := \{\sigma \in S^{k-1} \mid \sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_k \ge 0\}.$$

Also, recall the Stiefel manifold $S(k,m) := \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times k} \mid Q^TQ = \mathbf{1}\}$. We postpone the proof of the following technical result to Appendix 7.4.

Proposition 5.12. *Let $f\colon S^{km-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant function and put $g(\sigma) := f(\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma))$. Then we have*

$$\int_{S^{km-1}} f(X)\, dS^{km-1} = \frac{|O(k)||S(k,m)|}{2^k} \int_{S^{k-1}_+} g(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i^{m-k} \prod_{1\le i<j\le k} (\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2)\, dS^{k-1}.$$

We can now derive a formula for the volume of $C(k,m)$. We introduce the H_k-invariant functions $p_k\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ and $q_k\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$p_k(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k) := \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\sigma_i|, \quad q_k(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k) := p_k(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k)^{-k} \cdot \prod_{i<j} |\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2|. \tag{5.3}$$

Theorem 5.13. *The volume of $C(k,m)$ equals*

$$|C(k,m)| = \frac{|O(k)|\,|S(k,m)|}{km\,2^k} \int_{S_+^{k-1}} \left(p_k\, r_k^k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1},$$

where r_k denotes the radial function of the singular value zonoid $D(k)$.

Proof. According to Lemma 5.10, the radius function of $C(k,m)$ is given by $X \mapsto r_k(\mathrm{sv}(X))$. The volume of $C(k,m)$ satisfies (see [39, Equation (1.53)])

$$|C(k,m)| = \frac{1}{km} \int_{S^{km-1}} (r_k \circ \mathrm{sv})^{km}\, dS^{km-1}.$$

Since the radial function is continuous and $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant, we can apply Proposition 5.12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |C(k,m)| &= \frac{1}{km} \frac{|O(k)||S(k,m)|}{2^k} \int_{S_+^{k-1}} r_k(\sigma)^{km}\, p_k(\sigma)^{m-k} \prod_{1\le i<j\le k} (\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2)\, dS^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{|O(k)||S(k,m)|}{km2^k} \int_{S_+^{k-1}} \left(r_k(\sigma)^k\, p_k(\sigma)\right)^m q_k(\sigma)\, dS^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Remark 5.14. The proof of Theorem 5.13 works for any $O(k)\times O(m)$-invariant convex body. In particular, for the ball $B(k,m) := \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \mid \|X\|_F \le R_k\}$ containing $C(k,m)$ (see Lemma 5.10), we obtain:

$$|B(k,m)| = R_k^{km} \frac{\pi^{\frac{km}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{km}{2}\right)} = \frac{|O(k)|\,|S(k,m)|}{km\,2^k} \int_{S_+^{k-1}} \left(p_k\, R_k^k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1}.$$

6. Asymptotics

6.1. **Laplace method.** In this section we recall a useful result for the asymptotic evaluation of integrals depending on a large parameter. This technique will be crucial in the proofs of Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.8 and goes under the name of *Laplace's method*. The following statement is classical (see for example [52, Section II, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 6.1. *Consider the integral*

$$I(\lambda) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda a(t)} b(t) dt,$$

where the functions $a, b\colon [t_1,t_2] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. *The function a is smooth on a neighborhood of t_1 and there exist $\mu > 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$ such that as $t \to t_1$*
$$a(t) = a(t_1) + a_0(t-t_1)^\mu + \mathcal{O}(|t-t_1|^{\mu+1}).$$
2. *The function b is smooth on a neighborhood of t_1 and there exist $\nu \ge 1$ and $b_0 \neq 0$ such that as $t \to t_1$*
$$b(t) = b_0(t-t_1)^{\nu-1} + \mathcal{O}(|t-t_1|^\nu) \quad \text{with } b_0 \neq 0.$$

(3) *We have* $a(t) > a(t_1)$ *for all* $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ *and for every* $\delta > 0$ *the infimum of* $a(t) - a(t_1)$ *in* $[t_1 + \delta, t_2)$ *is positive.*
(4) *The integral* $I(\lambda)$ *converges absolutely for all sufficiently large* λ.

Then we have the asymptotic

$$I(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda a(t_1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^{\nu/\mu}} \cdot \frac{b_0 \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)}{a_0^{\nu/\mu}\mu} \cdot \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-(1+\nu)/\mu})\right) \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

Remark 6.2. (1) The hypotheses of [52, Section II, Theorem 1] are weaker than those of Theorem 6.1: it is only required that a and b are continuous in a neighborhood of t_1 (except possibly at t_1) and that they have asymptotic series at t_1 (which is certainly true if they are both smooth). Moreover the conclusion given there is also stronger than what we stated here: it is proved that $I(\lambda)$ also has an asymptotic series in descending powers of λ, while we are just writing the leading order term and the order of the error of this series.
(2) Note that the exponent of the exponential growth in (6.1) is determined by the minimum $a(t_1)$, the growth of the pre-exponential factor is determined by the orders μ, ν of the expansions of the functions a and b, and the leading constant in (6.1) involves the constants a_0 and b_0.

6.2. **An asymptotically sharp upper bound for the expected degree.** From Lemma 5.10, we see that the Segre zonoid $C(k,m)$ is contained in the ball

$$B(k,m) := \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m} \mid \|X\|_F \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{k}} \right\}.$$

In particular, $|C(k,m)| \leq |B(k,m)|$, which implies an upper bound for $\alpha(k,m)$. We next show that this inequality, for fixed k, is asymptotically sharp up to a subexponential factor.

Theorem 6.3. *For fixed* k *we have* $\log|C(k,m)| = \log|B(k,m)| - \mathcal{O}(\log m)$ *for* $m \to \infty$.

Proof. We introduce first some useful notation that we will use in the proof. Recall from Lemma 5.10 that $R_k = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_k / \sqrt{k}$ is the maximum of the radial function r_k on S_+^{k-1}, and that the maximum is attained exactly at $\sigma_u := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(1, \ldots, 1)$. It is convenient to define the normalized radius function $\tilde{r}_k := r_k / R_k$, which has the maximum value 1.

Theorem 5.13 and Remark 5.14 imply now that we can rewrite the two volumes $|C(k,m)|$ and $|B(k,m)|$ as

$$|C(k,m)| = \frac{|O(k)|\,|S(k,m)|}{km\,2^k} R_k^{km} \cdot I_C(k,m), \quad |B(k,m)| = \frac{|O(k)|\,|S(k,m)|}{km\,2^k} R_k^{km} \cdot I_B(k,m), \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$I_C(k,m) := \int_{S_+^{k-1}} \left(\tilde{r}_k^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k \, dS^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_B(k,m) := \int_{S_+^{k-1}} p_k^m q_k \, dS^{k-1}. \tag{6.3}$$

The idea of the proof is now to compute the asymptotics of two integrals $I_B(k,m)$ and $I_C(k,m)$, the first one explicitly and the second one using Laplace's method, and then to compare them. Because of $|C(k,m)| \leq |B(k,m)|$, and since the factors in front of the two integrals in (6.2) are the same, we just have to verify that

$$\log I_C(k,m) \geq \log I_B(k,m) - \mathcal{O}(\log m) \quad \text{for } m \to \infty. \tag{6.4}$$

We first look at the asymptotic growth of $I_B(k,m)$. Note that by rearranging (6.2), we get

$$I_B(k,m) = \frac{km2^k}{|O(k)|\,|S(k,m)|} \cdot |B_{\mathbb{R}^{km}}(0,1)|,$$

since R_k is the radius of the ball $B(k,m)$. Using the explicit formulas

$$|B_{\mathbb{R}^{km}}(0,1)| = \frac{\pi^{km/2}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{km}{2}\right)}, \qquad |S(k,m)| = \frac{2^k \pi^{km/2}}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$

and the asymptotics $\log\Gamma(z) = z\log z - z + \mathcal{O}(\log z)$ and $\log\Gamma_k(z) = k(z\log z - z) + \mathcal{O}(\log z)$ for $z \to \infty$, we easily deduce that

$$\log I_B(k,m) = km \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\log m) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty. \tag{6.5}$$

For the asymptotic growth of $I_C(k,m)$ we will need the following result, whose proof we postpone.

Lemma 6.4. *There exist $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \delta > 0$, depending on k, such that $\kappa_1 \delta \leq 1$ and for all m,*

$$I_C(k,m) \geq \kappa_0 \int_0^\delta \left(k^{-\frac{k}{2}}(1-\kappa_1\rho)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}}\, d\rho.$$

We rewrite the right-hand side integral in Lemma 6.4 as $\int_0^{\delta_0} e^{-ma(\rho)} b(\rho) d\rho$ with the smooth functions

$$a(\rho) := -\log\left(k^{-k/2}(1-\kappa_1\rho)\right) = \frac{k}{2}\log k + \kappa_1\rho + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \quad b(\rho) := \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}},$$

where the expansion is for $\rho \to 0$.

Note now that both functions a and b are smooth (b is a polynomial since for $k \geq 2$ the exponent $\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}$ is a positive natural number). We can then apply Theorem 6.1 with the choices $a_0 = \kappa_1, \mu = 1, b_0 = 1, \nu = \frac{k^2+k-4}{2} + 1$ and obtain for $m \to \infty$

$$\int_0^{\delta_0} \left(k^{-\frac{k}{2}}(1-\kappa_1\rho)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}}\, d\rho = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^{km} \frac{1}{m^\nu} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\kappa_1^\nu} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-(\nu+1)})\right).$$

In particular, combining this asymptotic with Lemma 6.4, we deduce that for $m \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \log I_C(k,m) &\geq \log\left(\kappa_0 \int_0^{\delta_0} \left(k^{-\frac{k}{2}}(1-\kappa_1\rho)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}}\, d\rho\right) \\ &\geq km \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) - \mathcal{O}(\log m). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (6.5), this shows (6.4) and proves Theorem 6.3. □

Proof of Lemma 6.4. It will be convenient to replace the singular value zonoid $D(k)$ by a convex body $Y \subseteq D(k)$ that is simpler to analyze. By Corollary 5.6 (see also Remark 5.11), the zero vector is an interior point of $D(k)$, hence there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\mathbb{R}^k}(0,\epsilon) \subseteq D(k)$. We define Y as the convex hull of $B_{\mathbb{R}^k}(0,\epsilon)$ and $\overline{y} := R_k \sigma_u \in D(k)$. Thus Y is the cone with base $B_{\mathbb{R}^k}(0,\epsilon)$ and apex $\overline{y}$. Note that Y is rotation symmetric with respect to the line passing through 0 and σ_u.

In the definition (6.3) of $I_C(k,m)$, we can can integrate over the full sphere S^{k-1},

$$I_C(k,m) = \int_{S^{k-1}_+} \left(\tilde{r}_k^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k!2^k} \int_{S^{k-1}} \left(\tilde{r}_k^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1},$$

by the H_k-invariance of the integrand. Recall that $\tilde{r}_k = R_k^{-1} r_k$ is the normalized radial function of $D(k)$. Denoting by r_Y the radial function of Y and setting $\tilde{r}_Y := R_k^{-1} r_Y$, we have $\tilde{r}_k \geq \tilde{r}_Y$, since $Y \subseteq D(k)$. Replacing $\tilde{r}_k$ by the smaller $\tilde{r}_Y$, we obtain

$$I_C(k,m) \;\geq\; \frac{1}{k!2^k} \int_{S^{k-1}} \left(\tilde{r}_Y^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1}.$$

For the integration of the right-hand side, we use a coordinate system adapted to the situation. The exponential map

$$\{x \in T_{\sigma_u} S^{k-1} \mid \|x\| < \pi\} \to S^{k-1} \setminus \{-\sigma_u\},\ x \mapsto \sigma(x)$$

is a diffeomorphism that maps 0 to σ_u. We describe tangent vectors in $T_{\sigma_u} S^{k-1}$ with polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta) \in [0, \infty) \times S^{k-2}$, where S^{k-2} stands here for the unit sphere of $T_{\sigma_u} S^{k-1}$. This way, we obtain a parametrization $\sigma(\rho, \theta)$ of the points in $S^{k-1} \setminus \{-\sigma_u\}$. It is easy to see that its Jacobian is of the form $\rho^{k-2} w(\rho)$ with a smooth function w satisfying $w(0) > 0$. By the transformation theorem we have

$$\int_{S^{k-1}} \left(\tilde{r}_Y^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1} = \int_0^\pi \int_{S^{k-2}} \left(\tilde{r}_Y(\sigma(\rho,\theta))^k\, p_k(\sigma(\rho,\theta))\right)^m q_k(\sigma(\rho,\theta))\, \rho^{k-2} w(\rho)\, dS^{k-2}\, d\rho.$$

Claim. There are $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$, $\pi > \delta_1 > 0$ and a nonzero continuous function $\eta\colon S^{k-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\rho \le \delta_1$ we have $w(\rho) \ge c_1$ and

$$\tilde{r}_Y(\sigma(\rho,\theta)) \ge 1 - c_2\rho, \quad p_k(\sigma(\rho,\theta)) \ge k^{-k/2}\left(1 - c_3\rho^2\right), \quad q_k(\sigma(\rho,\theta)) \ge \rho^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(|\eta(\theta)| - c_4\rho\right).$$

Proof. 1. The lower bound on $w(\rho)$ follows from the continuity of w and $w(0) > 0$.

2. By the axial symmetry of Y, the function $\tilde{r}_Y(\sigma(\rho,\theta))$ only depends on ρ. The lower bound on $\tilde{r}_Y(\rho)$ easily follows from the construction of Y.

3. The function $p_k(\sigma(x))$ is smooth in a neighborhood of the zero vector and has the expansion

$$p_k(\sigma(x)) = p_k(\sigma(0)) + \frac{1}{2} x H x^T + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^3)$$

for $x \in T_{\sigma_u} S^{k-1}$, $x \to 0$. The matrix H is negative semidefinite since $x = 0$ is a local maximum of $p_k(\sigma(x))$. Note that $p_k(\sigma(0)) = p_k(\sigma_u) = k^{-k/2}$. In particular, there exists a constant $c' > 0$ such that for small enough $\rho = \|x\|$ we have $p_k(\sigma(x)) \ge p_k(0) - c'\|x\|^2$, which shows the stated lower bound on p_k.

Recall from (5.3) that the function $q_k(\sigma)$ is defined as the modulus of the function $f_k(\sigma) := \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^{-k} \cdot \prod_{i<j} (\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2)$. The function f_k is smooth at σ_u and it vanishes at σ_u to order $\ell := \binom{k}{2}$. We consider the Taylor expansion $f_k(\sigma(x)) = h(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\|x\|^{\ell+1}\right)$, where h is a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree ℓ. In particular, we get in polar coordinates

$$f_k(\sigma(\rho,\theta)) = \rho^\ell \eta(\theta) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{\ell+1}\right)$$

where the function $\eta : S^{k-2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero. The stated lower bound on q_k follows and the claim is shown. □

To complete the proof of Lemma 6.4, we put $\kappa := \int_{S^{k-2}} |h| dS^{k-2}$, which is positive. Using the claim, we obtain for $0 < \delta \le \min\left\{\delta_1, \frac{\kappa}{2c_4|S^{k-2}|}\right\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S^{k-1}} \left(\tilde{r}_Y^k\, p_k\right)^m q_k\, dS^{k-1} \\
&\quad\ge \int_0^\delta \left((1-c_2\rho)^k\, k^{-k/2}\, (1-c_3\rho^2)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+k-2} c_1 \int_{S^{k-2}} \left(|h| - c_4\rho\right) dS^{k-2}\, d\rho \\
&\quad\ge \frac{c_1\kappa}{2} \int_0^\delta \left(k^{-k/2}(1-c_2\rho)^k(1-c_3\rho^2)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}}\, d\rho \\
&\quad\ge \frac{c_1\kappa}{2} \int_0^\delta \left(k^{-k/2}(1-2kc_2\rho)\right)^m \rho^{\frac{k^2+k-4}{2}}\, d\rho,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds if ρ is sufficiently small. The assertion follows with $\kappa_0 := \frac{1}{2}c_1\kappa$ and $\kappa_1 := 2kc_2$. □

Finally, we arrive at the main result of this section, an asymptotically sharp upper bound for the expected degree of real Grassmannians. The result should be compared with the corresponding statement (2.3). Recall the quantity $\rho_k \leq \sqrt{k}$ from (2.14) and (2.15).

Theorem 6.5. *Let $N := k(n-k) = \dim G(k,n)$. The following statements are true:*

(1) *For all $n \geq k > 0$ we have*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) \;\leq\; E(k,n) := \frac{|G(k,n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\,\frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^N.$$

(2) *For fixed k and $n \to \infty$, the above inequality is asymptotically sharp in the sense that*

$$\log \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \log E(k,n) - \mathcal{O}(\log n).$$

(3) *For fixed k and $n \to \infty$, we have*

$$\log E(k,n) = kn \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\right) - \mathcal{O}(\log n).$$

Proof. Recall the formula for $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)$ given in Corollary 5.2. In this formula, we replace $|C(k,n-k)|$ by the larger volume of the ball $B(k,n-k)$, obtaining the quantity

$$E(k,n) := |G(k,n)| \cdot \frac{N!}{2^N} \cdot |B(k,n-k)|, \tag{6.6}$$

which satisfies $\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) \leq E(k,n)$. Theorem 6.3 implies that $\log E(k,n) - \log \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \log|B(k,n-k)| - \log|C(k,n-k)| = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$ for fixed k and $n \to \infty$.

We verify now that the $E(k,n)$ defined in (6.6) indeed has the form stated in assertion (1). Recall that $B(k,n-k)$ is the ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the radius $R_k = \rho_k/\sqrt{2\pi k}$; cf. Lemma 5.10. We have $|B(k,n-k)| = R_k^N |B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(0,1)|$ and

$$|B_{\mathbb{R}^N}(0,1)| = \frac{1}{N}|S^{N-1}| = \frac{2}{N}\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(N/2)} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N+2}{2})}.$$

Plugging this into (6.6), and simplifying with the help of the duplication formula $N! = \frac{2^N}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{N+2}{2}\right)$, yields

$$E(k,n) = |G(k,n)| \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \cdot \left(\rho_k\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2k}}\right)^N = |G(k,n)| \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\,\frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^N,$$

as claimed in assertion (1).

It remains to prove the asymptotic of $E(k,n)$ stated in assertion (3). By the first assertion and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}\log E(k,n) &= \log\left(\frac{|G(k,n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|} \cdot \frac{1}{k^{N/2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\right)^N\right) \\ &= kn \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\right) + \log\left(\frac{|G(k,n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|} \cdot \frac{1}{k^{N/2}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\log n).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.9 states that for fixed k and $n \to \infty$

$$\log|G(k,n)| = -\frac{1}{2}kn\log n + \frac{1}{2}kn\log(2e\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\log n). \tag{6.7}$$

Moreover, for $|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N| = \frac{1}{2}|S^N|$ we obtain that, using $\log\Gamma(x) = x\log x - x + \mathcal{O}(\log x)$ for $x \to \infty$,

$$\log|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N| = -\frac{1}{2}kn\log n + \frac{1}{2}kn\log\left(\frac{2e\pi}{k}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\log n) \tag{6.8}$$

Combining (6.7) and (6.8), it follows that $\log\left(\frac{|G(k,n)|}{|\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^N|}\cdot\frac{1}{k^{N/2}}\right) = \mathcal{O}(\log n)$, which completes the proof. □

We compare now the expected degree of the real Grassmannian with the degree of the corresponding complex Grassmannian.

Corollary 6.6. *For $k \geq 2$ we have*

$$\varepsilon_k := \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log \operatorname{edeg} G(k,n)}{\log\sqrt{\deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)}} = \log_k\left(\frac{\pi\rho_k^2}{2}\right).$$

Moreover, the sequence ε_k is monotonically decreasing and $\lim_{k\to\infty}\varepsilon_k = 1$.

Proof. The formula for ε_k follows from Theorem 6.5 and the asymptotic $\operatorname{edeg} G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n) = kn\log k + \mathcal{O}(\log n)$ from Lemma 2.9. We have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\varepsilon_k = 1$ due to (2.15). The verification of the monotonicity of ε_k is left to the reader. □

Corollary 6.6 implies that for fixed k:

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k + o(1)} \quad \text{for } n\to\infty.$$

This means that for large n, the expected degree of the real Grassmannian exceeds the square root of the degree of the corresponding complex Grassmannian and in the exponent, the deviation from the square root is measured by $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_k - 1)$. For example, $\varepsilon_2 = 2\log_2(\pi/2) \approx 1.30$. For large k, the exponent ε_k goes to 1 so that we get the *square root law*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(k,n) = \deg G_{\mathbb{C}}(k,n)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)} \quad \text{for } k,n\to\infty.$$

6.3. **The Grassmannian of lines.**

6.3.1. *The expected degree of $G(2,4)$.* We can express this as an integral of the modulus of the function

$$\begin{aligned} a(t_1,t_2,t_3,s_1,s_2,s_3) = {} & \cos s_2 \sin s_1 \sin s_3 \sin t_2 \sin(t_1 - t_3) \\ & - (\sin s_2)\left(\cos s_1 \sin s_3 \sin t_1 \sin(t_2 - t_3) + \cos s_3 \sin s_1 \sin t_3 \sin(t_1 - t_2)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which can be written in the following symmetric way (this obervation is due to Chris Peterson)

$$|a(t,s)| = \left|\det\begin{pmatrix} \sin t_1 \sin s_1 & \sin t_2 \sin s_2 & \sin t_3 \sin s_3 \\ \cos t_1 \sin s_1 & \cos t_2 \sin s_2 & \cos t_3 \sin s_3 \\ \sin t_1 \cos s_1 & \sin t_2 \cos s_2 & \sin t_3 \cos s_3 \end{pmatrix}\right|^{1/2}.$$

Proposition 6.7. *We have* $\operatorname{edeg} G(2,4) = \frac{1}{2^{13}}\int_{[0,2\pi]^6}|a(t,s)|\,dtds = 1.72.....$

Proof. Formula (4.5) implies that

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,4) = |G(2,4)|\cdot\left(\frac{|\Sigma(2,4)|}{|G(2,4)|}\right)^4\cdot\alpha(2,2).$$

By (2.13) we have $|G(2,4)| = 2\pi^2$ and from Theorem 4.2 we deduce $\frac{|\Sigma(2,4)|}{|G(2,4)|} = \frac{\pi}{4}$. By definition, we have $\alpha(2,2) = \mathbb{E}\,\|(u_1\otimes v_1)\wedge\ldots\wedge(u_4\otimes v_4)\|$, where the $u_1,u_2,u_3,v_1,v_2,v_3 \in S^1$ are

independently and uniformly chosen at random, and we can assume $u_4 = v_4 = (1,0)$ by the invariance of the problem. We can thus write $u_i = (\cos t_i, \sin t_i)$ and $v_i = (\cos s_i, \sin s_i)$ where $(t_i, s_i) \in [0, 2\pi]^2$ are random with the uniform density. Setting $w_i := u_i \otimes v_i$, we have by (2.1) that $\|(u_1 \otimes v_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (u_4 \otimes v_4)\|^2 = \det(\langle w_i, w_j \rangle)$. Moreover,

$$\langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = (\cos t_i \cos t_j + \sin t_i \sin t_j)(\cos s_i \cos s_j + \sin s_i \sin s_j).$$

Expanding the determinant, we see after a few simplifications that $\det(\langle w_i, w_j \rangle) = a^2$ with the function a defined above. Consequently:

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,4) = 2\pi^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^4 \cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{[0,2\pi]^6} |a(t,s)|\, dtds,$$

which proves the claim. □

6.3.2. *The general case.* In the case of $G(2, n+1)$, Theorem 6.5 provides the asymptotic

$$\log \operatorname{edeg} G(2,n+1) = 2n \log \frac{\pi}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\log n) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

We sharpen this by proving the following result.

Theorem 6.8. *The expected degree of the Grassmannian of lines satisfies*

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,n+1) = \frac{8}{3\pi^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4}\right)^n \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,n+1) = |G(2,n+1)| \cdot \frac{(2n-2)!}{2^{2n-2}} \cdot |C(2,n-1)|. \tag{6.9}$$

The volume of the Grassmannian can be computed using (2.13):

$$|G(2,n+1)| = \frac{|O(n+1)|}{|O(2)| \cdot |O(n-1)|} = \frac{|S^n| \cdot |S^{n-1}|}{|O(2)|} = \frac{\pi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \tag{6.10}$$

where we used the duplication formula for the last inequality. Moreover,

$$|S(2,n-1)| \overset{(2.10)}{=} \frac{4\pi^{n-1}}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})} = \frac{2^{n-1}\pi^{n-2}}{(n-3)!}.$$

Theorem 5.13 describes the volume of $C(2,n-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |C(2,n-1)| &= \frac{|O(2)| \cdot |S(2,n-1)|}{(2n-2)2^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(r_2(\theta)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta\right)^{n-1} \frac{(\cos\theta)^2 - (\sin\theta)^2}{(\cos\theta \sin\theta)^2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{2^{n-2}\pi^{n-1}}{(n-1)\Gamma(n-2)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(r_2(\theta)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta\right)^{n-1} \frac{(\cos\theta)^2 - (\sin\theta)^2}{(\cos\theta \sin\theta)^2} d\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where here and below, abusing notation, we denote by $r_2(\theta)$ the function $r_2(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$.

Plugging (6.10) and (6.11) into (6.9), and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2,n+1) = \frac{\pi^{2n-2}\Gamma(2n-1)}{(2n-2)\Gamma(n-2)\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(r_2(\theta)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta\right)^{n-1} \frac{(\cos\theta)^2 - (\sin\theta)^2}{(\cos\theta \sin\theta)^2} d\theta. \tag{6.12}$$

We will now use Laplace's method for the evaluation of the integral in the above equation; first we will write it as:

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\lambda a(\theta)} b(\theta) d\theta,$$

where $\lambda = n-1$ and where we have set

$$a(\theta) := -\log(r_2(\theta)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta), \quad b(\theta) := \frac{(\cos\theta)^2 - (\sin\theta)^2}{(\cos\theta \sin\theta)^2}.$$

In order to apply Laplace's method, we verify now that the hypotheses (1)–(4) of Theorem 6.1 are satisfied. (Note that the minimum of a is attained at the *right* extremum of the interval of integration, which leads to minor modifications.)

(1) By Proposition 6.9 below, the function $r_2(\theta)^2$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and has the following asymptotic expansion for $\theta \to \frac{\pi}{4}$

$$r_2(\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^2 + \mathcal{O}\left((\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^3\right).$$

Consequently the function $a(\theta) = \log(r_2(\theta)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta)$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and, as $\theta \to \frac{\pi}{4}$, we can easily deduce

$$a(\theta) = 4\log 2 + 3\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left((\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^3\right).$$

(2) The function b is smooth in a neighborhood of $\frac{\pi}{4}$, and, as $\theta \to \frac{\pi}{4}$,

$$b(\theta) = -8\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^2\right).$$

(3) The function $\cos\theta \sin\theta$ is monotonically increasing on $[0, \pi/4]$, hence it has a unique maximum at $\frac{\pi}{4}$; moreover, by Lemma 5.10, the function $r_2(\theta)$ also has a unique maximum on $[0, \pi/4]$ at $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Therefore, a has a unique minimum at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ on the interval $[0, \pi/4]$.

(4) The integrand in $I(\lambda)$ is nonnegative and $I(\lambda) < \infty$ for every λ, since $\operatorname{edeg} G(2, n+1)$ is finite.

We can now apply Theorem 6.1 with $\mu = \nu = 2$, $a_0 = 3$ and $b_0 = 8$ (we have to change the sign of b_0 because the minimum is now attained at the *right* extremum of the interval of integration). This implies for $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda) &= e^{-\lambda 4\log 2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{8}{3 \cdot 2} \cdot \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-3/2})\right) \\ &= 2^{-4(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

We plug in now (6.13) into (6.12), obtaining

$$\operatorname{edeg} G(2, n+1) = \frac{\pi^{2n-2}\Gamma(2n-1)}{(2n-2)\Gamma(n-2)\Gamma(n)} \cdot 2^{-4(n-1)} \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2})\right). \tag{6.14}$$

We use the duplication formula for the Gamma function and write

$$\Gamma(2n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(2n)}{(2n-1)} = \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{2n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n-1)}. \tag{6.15}$$

Using (6.15) in(6.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{edeg} G(2, n+1) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2n} \frac{8}{3\pi^{5/2}} \frac{1}{n^3} \frac{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n-2)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2n} \frac{8}{3\pi^{5/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the asymptotic $\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n-2)} = n^{5/2}(1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}))$. This concludes the proof. □



FIGURE 1. The convex body $D(2)$ on the left and its polar $D(2)^\circ$ on the right (the bodies are centered at the origin, and the two pictures have the same scale).

It remains to show the proposition used in the proof of Theorem 6.8.

Proposition 6.9. *The radial function $r_2(\theta)$ of the singular value zonoid $D(2)$, parameterized by the angle θ, is smooth in a neighborhood of $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Moreover, we have the following expansion*

$$r_2(\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}\big(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\big)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\big(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\big)^4\right) \quad \text{as } \theta \to \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Proof. Let us first outline the idea. The main difficulty is that $r_2(\theta)$ is only implicitly defined. However, by Proposition 5.8, we explicitly know the support function h of $D(2)$. (See Figure 1 for the shape of $D(2)$ and its polar body).

Below we will show that h is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$, hence on this open set its gradient ∇h exists and it is a smooth function. Proposition 2.6 tells us that $\mathrm{im}(\gamma) \subseteq \partial D(2)$, where the function $\gamma\colon (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$\gamma(t) := (\nabla h)(\cos t, \sin t).$$

We use γ to obtain local parametrization of $\partial D(2)$ around $\pi/4$. Note that $\gamma(\pi/4) = \frac{1}{4}(1,1)$ by Lemma 5.10. Let $\theta(t)$ denote the angle that $\gamma(t)$ makes with the x-axis, that is, $\theta(t) := \arctan(\gamma_2(t)/\gamma_1(t))$. Then we have

$$r_2(\theta(t)) = \|\gamma(t)\| \tag{6.16}$$

and $\gamma(\pi/4) = \pi/4$. We will show that the derivative of $\theta(t)$ does not vanish at $\pi/4$. Then the implicit function theorem implies that we can locally invert the function $\theta(t)$ around $\pi/4$ to obtain a smooth function $t(\theta)$, and it follows from (6.16) that $r_2(\theta)$ is indeed smooth in a neighborhood of $\pi/4$. The Taylor expansion of $r_2(\theta)$ around $\pi/4$ will be derived by calculating the expansions of the gradient of h and the resulting expansion of $\theta(t)$ around $\pi/4$.

We proceed now to prove the smoothness of h. By Proposition 5.8, h can be written as

$$h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\, g_2(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\sigma_1^2 u_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 u_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u_1^2+u_2^2)}\, du_1 du_2.$$

The above integral can be expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integral of the second kind

$$E(s) := \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - s(\sin t)^2}\, dt, \quad 0 \le s \le 1,$$

which is a smooth function of s. We obtain after a short calculation that

$$h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{\pi}\,|\sigma_1|\, E\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right).$$

This formula, combined with $h(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) = h(\sigma_2,\sigma_1)$, implies that h is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$.

The elliptic integral $E(s)$ has the following expansion for $s \to 0$

$$E(s) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}s - \frac{3\pi}{128}s^2 - \frac{5\pi}{512}s^3 + \mathcal{O}(s^4).$$

We can derive from this the third order Taylor expansion of h at (μ,μ), where $\mu := \sqrt{2}/2$. Denoting $x_i := \sigma_i - \mu$ and $x := (x_1, x_2)$, we obtain after some calculation the third order Taylor expansion of h around $x = (0,0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1+\mu, x_2+\mu) = \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + \frac{\mu}{16}x_1^2 - \frac{\mu}{8}x_1x_2 + \frac{\mu}{16}x_2^2 \\ - \frac{1}{32}x_1^3 + \frac{1}{32}x_1^2x_2 + \frac{1}{32}x_1x_2^2 - \frac{1}{32}x_2^3 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^4). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain the Taylor expansion for the gradient ∇h around $x = (0,0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_1} h(x_1+\mu, x_2+\mu) &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu}{8}x_1 - \frac{\mu}{8}x_2 - \frac{3}{32}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{16}x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{32}x_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^3) \\ \partial_{x_2} h(x_1+\mu, x_2+\mu) &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu}{8}x_1 + \frac{\mu}{8}x_2 + \frac{1}{32}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{16}x_1x_2 - \frac{3}{32}x_2^2 + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^3). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the definition $\gamma_i(t) = \partial_{x_i} h(\cos t, \sin t)$ and substituting $x_1 = \cos t - \mu$, $x_2 = \sin t - \mu$, we obtain from the above expansion of ∇h by a straightforward calculation (best done with a computer) the following expansions for $\tau \to 0$,

$$\gamma_1(\tau + \pi/4) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8}\tau - \frac{1}{16}\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3), \quad \gamma_2(\tau+\pi/4) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\tau - \frac{1}{16}\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3). \tag{6.17}$$

This implies $\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}(\tau+\pi/4) = 1 + \tau + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$ for $\tau \to 0$, and hence

$$\theta(\tau+\pi/4) = \arctan\left(\frac{\gamma_2(\tau+\pi/4)}{\gamma_1(\tau+\pi/4)}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\tau + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3). \tag{6.18}$$

In particular, we see that $\theta'(\pi/4) = 1/2 \neq 0$, hence the function $\theta(t)$ has a smooth inverse function $t(\theta)$ around $\pi/4$. Equation (6.17) implies with $r_2(\theta(t))^2 = \gamma_1(t)^2 + \gamma_2(t)^2$ (see (6.16)) that for $\tau \to 0$

$$r_2(\theta(\tau+\pi/4))^2 = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{32}\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tau^4). \tag{6.19}$$

From the expansion (6.18) we obtain for $\theta \to \pi/4$

$$\tau(\theta) := t(\theta) - \frac{\pi}{4} = 2\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^3\right).$$

Plugging this into (6.19), we finally arrive at

$$r_2(\theta)^2 = \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left((\theta - \frac{\pi}{4})^4\right) \quad \text{as } \theta \to \frac{\pi}{4},$$

which concludes the proof. □

7. Appendix

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Since the density of A is invariant under the orthogonal group $O(n)$, we can assume that B is spanned by the first l standard vectors, i.e.,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix, A_1 is an $\ell \times k$ matrix and A_2 an $(n-\ell)\times k$ matrix. (Again, abusing notation, we denote by A and B also matrices whose columns span the corresponding spaces.) If we sample A with i.i.d. normal gaussians, the corresponding probability distribution for the span of its columns is $O(n)$ invariant, and consequently it coincides with the uniform distribution. In order to compute the principal angles between A and B using (3.1), we need to orthonormalize the columns of A. Defining

$$\hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} (A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2)^{-1/2}$$

we see that the span of the columns of A and $\hat{A}$ is the same, and the columns of $\hat{A}$ are orthonormal. The cosines of the principal angles between A and B are the singular values $1 \geq \sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_k \geq 0$ of the matrix:

$$\hat{A}^T B = (A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2)^{-1/2} A_1^T.$$

The $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ coincide with the square roots of the eigenvalues $1 \geq u_1 \geq \cdots \geq u_k \geq 0$ of the positive semidefinite matrix

$$(A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2)^{-1/2} A_1^T A_1 (A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2)^{-1/2},$$

which are the same as the eigenvalues of $N = (A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2)^{-1} A_1^T A_1$ (eigenvalues are invariant under cyclic permutations). Consider the Cholesky decomposition $M^T M = A_1^T A_1 + A_2^T A_2$. Then the eigenvalues of N equal the eigenvalues of

$$U = (M^T)^{-1} A_1^T A_1 M^{-1}.$$

We use now some facts about the multivariate Beta distribution (see [36, §3.3]). By its definition, the matrix U has a $\mathrm{Beta}_k(\frac{1}{2}l, \frac{1}{2}(n-l))$ distribution. [36, Theorem 3.3.4] states that the joint density of the eigenvalues of U is given by

$$\frac{\pi^{\frac{k^2}{2}} \Gamma_k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \Gamma_k\left(\frac{l}{2}\right) \Gamma_k\left(\frac{n-l}{2}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_j^{(l-k-1)/2} (1-u_j)^{(n-l-k-1)/2} \prod_{i<j} (u_i - u_j). \tag{7.1}$$

Recall now that $u_i = (\cos\theta_i)^2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, which implies the change of variable $du_i = -2\cos\theta_i \sin\theta_i d\theta_i$, and thus (7.1) becomes the stated density in (3.2).

7.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.3.** We begin with a general reasoning. Assume that $A \in e(B)$. The unit normal vectors of $e(B)$ at A, up to a sign, are uniquely determined by A. Lemma 4.1 provides an explit description for them as follows. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ and $a_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n-k}$ be the orthonormal bases given by Lemma 3.1 for A and B, respectively; note that $\dim(A \cap B) = 1$ since $A \in e(B)$. In particular, $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = \cos\theta_i$ for $i \leq k$, where $\theta_1 \leq \ldots \leq \theta_k$ are the principal angles between A and B. Let $\mathbb{R}f = (A+B)^\perp$ with $\|f\| = 1$. According to Lemma 4.1, the unit vector $\nu := f \wedge a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k$ spans the normal space of $e(B)$ at A. (We use here the representation of elements of $G(k,n)$ and its tangent spaces by vectors in $\Lambda^k \mathbb{R}^n$; cf. §2.1.)

Fix now $B \in G(n-k, n)$ and recall that the functions $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \colon G(k,n) \to \mathbb{R}$ give the smallest and second smallest principal angle, respectively, between $A \in G(k,n)$ and B. Let $0 < \epsilon \leq \delta < \pi/2$ and put $T := \{A \in G(k,n) \mid \vartheta_1(A) \leq \epsilon,\ \vartheta_2(A) \geq \delta\}$. We first prove that $\mathcal{T}^\perp(e(B)_\delta, \epsilon) \subseteq T$.

For $A \in e(B)$ we consider the curve $N_A(t) := (a_1 \cos t + f \sin t) \wedge a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We note that $N_A(t)$ arises from A by a rotation with the angle t in the (oriented) plane spanned by a_1 and f, fixing the vectors in the orthogonal complement spanned by $a_2, \ldots, a_k$. We have $N_A(0) = A$ and $\dot{N}_A(0) = \nu$. It is well known (see, e.g., [13]) that $N_A(t)$ is the geodesic through

A with speed vector ν, that is, $N_A(t) = \exp_A(t\nu)$ and $N_A(0) = A$. From the definition of the ϵ-tube, we therefore have

$$\mathcal{T}^{\perp}(e(B)_\delta, \epsilon) = \{N_A(t) \mid A \in e(B)_\delta,\ |t| \leq \epsilon\}. \tag{7.2}$$

Since both a_1 and f are orthogonal to $b_2, \ldots, b_{n-k}$, the principal angles between $N_A(t)$ and B are $|t|, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_k$, where $\langle a_j, b_j\rangle = \cos\theta_j$. By our assumption $A \in e(B)_\delta$, we have $\delta \leq \theta_2 \leq \ldots \leq \theta_k$. Hence we see that $|t|$ is the smallest principle angle between $N_A(t)$ and B if $|t| \leq \delta$. We have thus verified that $\mathcal{T}^{\perp}(e(B)_\delta, \epsilon) \subseteq T$.

For the other inclusion, let $C \in T$; assume $C \notin e(B)_\delta$, otherwise clearly $C \in \mathcal{T}^{\perp}(e(B)_\delta, \epsilon)$. Let $(c_1, \ldots, c_k)$ and $(b_1, \ldots, b_{n-k})$ be orthonormal bases of C and B, respectively, as provided by Lemma 3.1. So we have $\langle b_j, c_j\rangle = \cos\vartheta_j(C)$ for all j, where $\vartheta_1(C) \leq \epsilon$ and $\delta \leq \vartheta_2(C) \leq \ldots \leq \vartheta_k(C)$ by the assumption $C \in T$. In particular, b_1 is orthogonal to $c_2, \ldots, c_k$ and b_1, c_1 are linearly independent. We define $A \in G(k,n)$ as the space spanned by $b_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k$. By construction, $\vartheta_1(A) = 0$ and $\vartheta_j(A) = \vartheta_j(C)$ for $j \geq 2$. Hence, $A \in e(B)_\delta$. Let $N_A(t) \in G(k,n)$ be defined as above as the space resulting from A by a rotation with the angle t in the oriented plane spanned by b_1 and c_1. By construction, we have $C = N_A(\tau)$ where $\tau = \vartheta_1(C) \leq \epsilon$. Therefore, we indeed have $C \in \mathcal{T}^{\perp}(e(B)_\delta, \epsilon)$ by (7.2). □

7.3. **Proof of Lemma 4.5.** More generally, we consider a semialgebraic set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$ of dimension $d \leq n-k-1$. Consider the semialgebraic set

$$C(Y) := \{(A, y) \in G(k,n) \times Y \mid y \in \mathbb{P}(A)\},$$

together with the projections on the two factors: $\pi_1 \colon C(Y) \to G(k,n)$ and $\pi_2 \colon B(Y) \to Y$. Since $Z(Y) = \pi_1(C(Y))$, the set $Z(Y)$ is semialgebraic. Note that $C(Y)$ is compact if Y compact, and $C(Y)$ is connected if Y is connected (since π_1 is continuous). In order to determine the dimension of $C(Y)$, we note that the fiber $\pi_2^{-1}(y)$ over $y \in Y$ is isomorphic to $G(k-1, n-1)$. As a consequence, we get

$$\dim C(Y) = \dim Y + (k-1)(n-k). \tag{7.3}$$

The fibers $\pi_1^{-1}(A)$ over $A \in Z(Y)$ consist of exactly one point, except for the A lying in the exceptional set

$$Z^{(2)}(Y) := \{A \in Z(Y) \mid \mathbb{P}(A) \cap Y \text{ consists of at least two points}\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap Y$ consists of one point only, for all $A \in Z(Y) \setminus Z^{(2)}(Y)$.

In order to show that $\dim Z^{(2)}(Y) < \dim Z(Y)$, we consider the semialgebraic set

$$C^{(2)}(Y) := \{(A, y_1, y_2) \in G(k,n) \times Y \times Y \mid y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{P}(A), y_1 \neq y_2\}$$

with the corresponding projections $\pi_3 \colon C^{(2)}(Y) \to G(k,n)$ and $\pi_4 \colon C^{(2)}(Y) \to Y \times Y$. Note that $Z^{(2)}(Y) = \pi_3(C^{(2)}(Y))$. For $(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times Y$ such that $y_1 \neq y_2$, we have $\dim \pi_4^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = k(n-k) - 2(n-k)$, since the fibers of π_4 are isomorphic to $G(k-2, n-2)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim C^{(2)}(Y) &= 2\dim Y + k(n-k) - 2(n-k) \leq \dim Y + n - k - 1 + k(n-k) - 2(n-k)\\ &= \dim Y + (k-1)(n-k) - 1 \overset{(7.3)}{=} \dim C(Y) - 1,\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

and we see that $\dim(C(Y) \setminus C^{(2)}(Y)) = \dim C(Y)$. For the projection $f \colon C^{(2)}(Y) \to C(Y)$ defined by $f(A, y_1, y_2) := (A, y_1)$, we have $\pi_1^{-1}(Z^{(2)}(Y)) = f(C^{(2)}(Y))$, hence

$$\dim \pi_1^{-1}(Z^{(2)}(Y)) \leq \dim f(C^{(2)}(Y)) \leq \dim C^{(2)}(Y) < \dim C(Y).$$

Moreover, the projection $C(Y) \setminus C^{(2)}(Y) \to Z(Y) \setminus Z^{(2)}(Y)$, $(A, y) \mapsto y$ is bijective, hence

$$\dim(Z(Y) \setminus Z^{(2)}(Y)) = \dim(C(Y) \setminus C^{(2)}(Y)) \overset{(7.4)}{=} \dim C(Y).$$

Using $\dim Z(Y) \leq \dim C(Y)$, we see that

$$\dim Z(Y) = \dim C(Y) = \dim Y + (k-1)(n-k), \tag{7.5}$$

$$\dim Z^{(2)}(Y) \leq \dim C^{(2)}(Y) < \dim C(Y) = \dim Z(Y). \tag{7.6}$$

In the special case where $\dim X = n-k-1$, we conclude that $Z(X)$ is a hypersurface.

We consider now the following set of "bad" $A \in Z(X)$:

$$S(X) := Z^{(2)}(X) \cup Z(\mathrm{Sing}(X)) \cup \mathrm{Sing}(Z(X)) \cup \pi_1(\mathrm{Sing}(C(X))).$$

We claim that this semialgebraic set has dimension strictly less than $Z(X)$. This follows for $Z^{(2)}(X)$ from (7.6), for $Z(\mathrm{Sing}X)$ from (7.5) applied to $Y = \mathrm{Sing}(X)$, for $\pi_1(\mathrm{Sing}(C(X))$ from Proposition 2.2 and (7.5), and finally for $\mathrm{Sing}(Z(X))$ by Proposition 2.2.

Thus generic points $A \in Z(X)$ are not in $S(X)$ and hence satisfy the following:

(1) the intersection $\mathbb{P}(A) \cap X$ consists of one point only (let us denote this point by p);
(2) the point p is a smooth point of X;
(3) the point A is a regular point of $Z(X)$,
(4) the point (A, p) is a regular point of $C(X)$.

It remains to prove that for every $A \in Z(X) \backslash S(X)$ we have (4.3). Let us take $(A, p) \in C(X)$ with $A \notin S(X)$. We work in local coordinates $(w, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ on a neighborhood U of (A, p) in $G(k, n) \times \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$, where $N = k(n-k)$. For simplicity we center the coordinates on the origin, so that $(0, 0)$ are the coordinates of (A, p). In this coordinates, the set $C(X) \cap U$ can be described as:

$$C(X) \cap U = \{(w, x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \,|\, F(w, x) = 0, G(x) = 0\}, \tag{7.7}$$

where $F(w, x) = 0$ represents the reduced local equations describing the condition $x \in \mathbb{P}(W)$ and $G(x) = 0$ the reduced local equations giving the condition $x \in X$. Since (A, p) is a regular point of $C(X)$, the tangent space of $C(X)$ at (A, p) is described by:

$$T_{(A,p)}C(X) = \{(\dot{w}, \dot{x}) \,|\, (D_{(0,0)}F)(\dot{w}, \dot{x}) = 0,\ (D_0G)\dot{x} = 0\}.$$

Note that p is a smooth point of X, hence $(D_0G)\dot{x} = 0$ is the equation for the tangent space to X at p. On the other hand, since $Z(X) = \pi_1(C(X))$, we have:

$$T_A Z(X) = D_{(0,0)}\pi_1 \left(T_{(A,p)}C(X)\right). \tag{7.8}$$

Let now $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the linear space corresponding to T_pX and let us write the equations for $C(B)$ in the same coordinates as above (by construction we have $(A, p) \in C(B)$):

$$C(B) \cap U = \{(w, x) \,|\, F(w, x) = 0, (D_0G)x = 0\}.$$

Note that the same equation $F(w, x) = 0$ as in (7.7) appears here (recall that this is the equation describing $x \in \mathbb{P}(W)$), but now $G = 0$ is replaced with its linearization *at zero*. In particular:

$$T_{(A,p)}C(B) = \{(\dot{w}, \dot{x}) \,|\, (D_{(0,0)}F)(\dot{w}, \dot{x}) = 0,\ (D_0G)\dot{x} = 0\},$$

which coincides with (7.8). Since $\Omega(B) = \pi_1(C(B))$, this finally implies:

$$T_A\Omega(B) = D_{(0,0)}\pi_1 \left(T_{(A,p)}C(B)\right) = D_{(0,0)}\pi_1 \left(T_{(A,p)}C(X)\right) = T_A Z(X),$$

which finishes the proof. □

7.4. **Proof of Proposition 5.12.** We extend the function f to $\mathbb{R}^{k\times m}\setminus\{0\}$ by setting $f(X) := f(X/\|X\|)$, denoting it by the same symbol. Similarly, we extend g by setting $g(\sigma) := f(\mathrm{diag}_{k,m}(\sigma))$. We assume now that $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times m}$ has i.i.d. standard Gaussian entries. Then we can write

$$\frac{1}{|S^{km-1}|}\int_{S^{km-1}} f(X)\, dS^{km-1} = \mathbb{E}\, f(X) = \int_{\sigma_1>\ldots>s_k} g(\sigma)\, p_{\mathrm{SVD}}(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k)\, d\sigma_1\cdots d\sigma_k,$$

where p_{SVD} denotes the joint density of the *ordered* singular values of X. This density can be derived as follows. The joint density of the ordered eigenvalues $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k > 0$ of the Wishart distributed matrix XX^T is known to be [36, Corollary 3.2.19]

$$p(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k) = c_{k,m}\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i}\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\frac{m-k-1}{2}}\prod_{i<j}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j),$$

where

$$c_{k,m} := \frac{2^k \pi^{\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2^{\frac{km}{2}}\Gamma_k\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\Gamma_k\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}.$$

From this, using $\lambda_i(XX^T) = \sigma_i(X)^2$ and the change of variable $d\lambda_i = 2\sigma_i d\sigma_i$, we obtain

$$p_{\mathrm{SVD}}(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k) = c_{k,m}\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2}\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^{m-k}\prod_{i<j}(\sigma_i^2-\sigma_j^2).$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\, f(X) &= c_{k,m}\int_{\sigma_1>\cdots>\sigma_k>0} g(\sigma)\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2}\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^{m-k}\prod_{i<j}(\sigma_i^2-\sigma_j^2)\, d\sigma_1\cdots d\sigma_k\\ &= c_{k,m}\int_0^\infty r^{km-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}\, dr\int_{\theta\in S_+^{k-1}} g(\theta)\prod_{i=1}^k \theta_i^{m-k}\prod_{i<j}(\theta_i^2-\theta_j^2)\, dS^{k-1}(\theta),\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have switched to polar coordinates $\sigma = r\theta$ with $\theta\in S^{k-1}$ and $r\geq 0$. Note that the power of the r-variable arises as

$$k(m-k) + 2\binom{k}{2} + k - 1 = km - 1.$$

Using $\int_0^\infty r^{km-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}\, dr = \Gamma(\frac{km}{2})\, 2^{\frac{km}{2}-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\, f(X) = c_{k,m}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{km}{2}\right)2^{\frac{km}{2}-1}\int_{S_+^{k-1}} g(\theta)\prod_{i=1}^k \theta_i^{m-k}\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq k}(\theta_i^2-\theta_j^2)\, dS^{k-1}.$$

It is immediate to verify that:

$$|S^{km-1}|\cdot c_{k,m}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{km}{2}\right)2^{\frac{km}{2}-1} = \frac{|O(k)||S(k,m)|}{2^k},$$

which completes the proof. □

7.5. **Generalized Poincaré's formula in homogeneous spaces.** The purpose of this section is to prove the kinematic formula in homogeneous spaces for multiple intersections and to derive Theorem 3.19. The proofs are similar to [25], to which we refer the reader for more details.

7.5.1. *Definitions and statement of the theorem.* In the following, G denotes a compact Lie group with a left and right invariant Riemannian metric.[1] See [6] for background on Lie groups. We denote by $e \in G$ the identity element and by $L_g \colon G \to G, x \mapsto gx$ the left translation by $g \in G$. The derivatives of L_g will be denoted by $g_* \colon T_eG \to T_gG$. By assumption, this map is isometric.

In (3.5) we defined a quantity for capturing the relative position of linear subspaces of a Euclidean vector space. We can extend this notion to linear subspaces $V_i \subseteq T_{g_i}G$ in tangent spaces of G at any points $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$, assuming $\sum_i \dim V_i \leq \dim G$. This is done by left-translating the g_i to the identity e: so we define

$$\sigma(V_1, \ldots, V_m) := \sigma\big((g_1)_*^{-1}V_1, \ldots, (g_m)_*^{-1}V_m\big).$$

Let now $K \subseteq G$ be a closed Lie subgroup and denote by $p \colon G \to G/K$ the quotient map. We endow K with the Riemannian structure induced by its inclusion in G, and G/K with the Riemannian structure defined by declaring p to be a Riemannian submersion. For example, when $G = O(n)$ with the invariant metric defined in Section 2.7 and $K = O(k) \times O(n-k)$, then G/K with the quotient metric is isometric to the Grassmannian $G(k, n)$ with the metric defined in Section 2.1.

Note that G acts naturally by isometries on G/K; if $g \in G$ and $y \in G/K$, we denote by gy the result of the action. Further, we denote by $y_0 = p(e)$ the projection of the identity element. The multiplication with an element $k \in K$ fixes the point y_0; as a consequence, the differential of k induces a map denoted $k_* \colon T_{y_0}G/K \to T_{y_0}G/K$, so that we have an induced action of K on $T_{y_0}G/K$.

Given a submanifold X of a Riemannian manifold M, we denote by NX its normal bundle in M (i.e., for all $x \in X$ the vector space N_xX is the orthogonal complement to T_xX in T_xM). Also, the restriction of the Riemannian metric of M to X allows to define a volume density on X; if $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is an integrable function, we denote its integral with respect to this density by $\int_X f(x)\, dx$.

Definition 7.1. *For given submanifolds $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m \subseteq G/K$, we define the function*

$$\sigma_K : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to \mathbb{R}$$

as follows. For $(y_1, \ldots, y_m) \in Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$ let $\xi_i \in G$ be such that $\xi_i y_i = y_0$ for all i. We define

$$\sigma_K(y_1, \ldots, y_m) := \mathbb{E}_{(k_1,\ldots,k_m)\in K^m} \sigma(k_{1*}\xi_{1*}N_{y_1}Y_1, \ldots, k_{m*}\xi_{m*}N_{y_m}Y_m),$$

where the expectation is taken over a uniform $(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in K \times \ldots \times K$.

The reader should compare this definition with [25, Def. 3.3], which is just a special case. The main result of this section is the following generalization of Poincaré's kinematic formula for homogeneous spaces, as stated in [25, Thm. 3.8] for the intersection of two manifolds. We provide a proof, since the more general result is crucial for our work and we were unable to find it in the literature.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be submanifolds of G/K such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{codim}_{G/K} Y_i \leq \dim G/K$. Then, for almost all $(g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G^m$, the manifolds $g_1Y_1, \ldots, g_mY_m$ intersect transversely, and*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(g_1,\ldots,g_m)\in G^m} |g_1Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_mY_m| = \frac{1}{|G/K|^{m-1}} \int_{Y_1\times\cdots\times Y_m} \sigma_K(y_1, \ldots, y_m)\, dy_1 \cdots dy_m,$$

where the expectation is taken over a uniform $(g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G \times \cdots \times G$.

[1] The compactness assumption is not essential, but simplifies the statements, for example the modular function of G is constant and does not have to be taken into account, see [25, §2.3].

We note that when G acts transitively on the tangent spaces to each Y_i (formally defined as in Definition 3.4), then the function $\sigma_K \colon Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to \mathbb{R}$ introduced in Definition 7.1 is constant. As a consequence we obtain:

Corollary 7.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 7.2, if moreover G acts transitively on the tangent spaces to Y_i for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(g_1,\ldots,g_m)\in G^m} |g_1 Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_m Y_m| = \sigma_K(y_1, \ldots, y_m) \cdot |G/K| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|Y_i|}{|G/K|},$$

where $(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is any point of $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$.

Let us look now at the special case $G = O(n)$ and $K = O(k) \times O(n-k)$. If $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are coisotropic hypersurfaces of $G(k, n)$, then G acts transitively on their tangent spaces by Proposition 4.6. Moreover, when $m = k(n-k)$, it is easy to check that the constant value of σ_K equals the real average scaling factor $\alpha(k, n-k)$ defined in Definition 3.18. Hence in this case, the statement of Corollary 7.3 coincides with the statement of Theorem 3.19.

7.5.2. *The kinematic formula in G.* As before, G denotes a compact Lie group. We derive first Theorem 7.2 in the special case $K = \{e\}$, which is the following result (we can w.l.o.g. assume $g_m = e$).

Lemma 7.4. *Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ be submanifolds of G such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{codim}_G X_i \leq \dim G$. Then*

$$\int_{G^{m-1}} |g_1 X_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_{m-1} X_{m-1} \cap X_m| \, dg_1 \cdots dg_{m-1} = \int_{X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m} \sigma(N_{x_1} X_1, \ldots, N_{x_m} X_m) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_m,$$

In the special case of intersecting two submanifolds, this is an immediate consequence of the following "basic integral formula" from [25, §2.7] (take $h = 1$).

Proposition 7.5. *Let M_1, M_2 be submanifolds of G such that $\operatorname{codim}_G M_1 + \operatorname{codim}_G M_2 \leq \dim G$. For almost all $g \in G$, the manifolds M_1 and gM_2 intersect transversely, and if h is an integrable function on $M_1 \times M_2$, then*

$$\int_G \int_{gM_1 \cap M_2} h(\varphi_g(y)) \, dy \, dg = \int_{M_1 \times M_2} h(x_1, x_2) \, \sigma(N_{x_1} M_1, N_{x_2} M_2) \, dx_1 dx_2,$$

where $\varphi_g \colon gM_1 \cap M_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$ is the function given by $\varphi_g(y) := (g^{-1}y, y)$.

In order to reduce the general case to that of intersecting two submanifolds, we first establish a linear algebra identity.

Lemma 7.6. *For subspaces $V_1, \ldots V_\ell, W, Z$ of a Euclidean vector space, we have*

$$\sigma(V_1, \ldots, V_\ell, W, Z) = \sigma(V_1, \ldots, V_\ell, W + Z) \cdot \sigma(W, Z).$$

Proof. We may assume that $W \cap Z = 0$, since otherwise both sides of the identity are zero. Let us denote by $(v_{i,1}, \ldots, v_{i,d_i})$, $(w_1, \ldots, w_a)$, and $(z_1, \ldots, z_b)$ orthonormal bases of V_i, W, and Z respectively. Moreover, we denote by $(w_1, \ldots, w_a, \tilde{z}_1, \ldots, \tilde{z}_b)$ an orthonormal basis for $Z + W$ obtained by completing $(w_1, \ldots, w_a)$. By definition we have:

$$\sigma(V_1, \ldots, V_\ell, W + Z) = \Big\| \Big(\bigwedge_{i,j} v_{i,j} \Big) \wedge w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_a \wedge \tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{z}_b \Big\|. \tag{7.9}$$

On the other hand, since $W + Z = \operatorname{span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_a, z_1, \ldots, z_b\}$, we have

$$w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_a \wedge \tilde{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{z}_b = \pm \frac{w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_a \wedge z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_b}{\|w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_a \wedge z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_b\|} = \pm \frac{w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_a \wedge z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_b}{\sigma(W, Z)}.$$

Substituting the last line into (7.9) and recalling the definition of $\sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,W,Z)$ from (3.5), the assertion follows. □

Corollary 7.7. *Let M_1, M_2 be submanifolds of G and $V_1,\dots,V_\ell$ be linear subspaces of tangent spaces of G (possibly at different points), such that* $\operatorname{codim}_G M_1 + \operatorname{codim}_G M_2 + \sum_i \dim V_i \le \dim G$. *Then we have*

$$\int_G \int_{gM_1\cap M_2} \sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,N_y(gM_1\cap M_2))\,dy\,dg = \int_{M_1\times M_2} \sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,N_{x_1}M_1,N_{x_2}M_2)\,dx_1dx_2.$$

Proof. We apply Proposition 7.5 with the function $h\colon M_1\times M_2\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h(x_1,x_2) := \sigma\big(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,(x_1)_*^{-1}N_{x_1}M_1 + (x_2)_*^{-1}N_{x_2}M_2\big).$$

When gM_1 and M_2 intersect transversely, we have $N_y(gM_1\cap M_2) = N_y(gM_1)+N_y(M_2)$, which implies $h(\varphi_g(y)) = \sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,N_y(gM_1\cap gM_2))$ for $y\in gM_1\cap M_2$. Hence we obtain with Proposition 7.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G \int_{gM_1\cap M_2} \sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,N_y(gM_1\cap M_2))\,dy\,dg &= \int_G \left(\int_{gM_1\cap M_2} h(\varphi_g(y))\,dy\right) dg\\ &= \int_{M_1\times M_2} h(x_1,x_2)\sigma(N_{x_1}M_1,N_{x_2}M_2)\,dx_1dx_2\\ &= \int_{M_1\times M_2} \sigma(V_1,\dots,V_\ell,N_{x_1}M_1,N_{x_2}M_2)\,dx_1dx_2,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to Lemma 7.6. □

Proof of Lemma 7.4. Recall that we already established Lemma 7.4 in the case $m=2$ as a consequence of Proposition 7.5. Let $m\ge 3$ and abbreviate $Y := g_2X_2\cap Z$, where $Z := g_3X_3\cap\ldots\cap g_{m-1}X_{m-1}\cap X_m$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{G^{m-2}}\left(\int_{g_1\in G}\big|g_1X_1\cap\big(g_2X_2\cap\ldots g_{m-1}X_{m-1}\cap X_m\big)\big|\,dg_1\right)dg_2\ldots dg_{m-1}\\ &= \int_{G^{m-2}}\left(\int_{X_1}\int_Y \sigma(N_{x_1}X_1,N_yY)\,dx_1dy\right)dg_2\ldots dg_{m-1}\\ &= \int_{X_1}\int_{G^{m-3}}\left(\int_{g_2\in G}\int_Y \sigma(N_{x_1}X_1,N_y(g_2X_2\cap Z)\,dg_2dy\right)dg_3\ldots dg_{m-1}dx_1\\ &= \int_{X_1}\int_{G^{m-3}}\left(\int_{x_2\in X_2}\int_{z\in Z}\sigma(N_{x_1}X_1,N_{x_2}X_2,N_zZ)\,dg_2\right)dg_3\ldots dg_{m-1},\end{aligned}$$

where we first applied Lemma 7.4 in the case $m=2$, then interchanged the order of integration, and after that used Corollary 7.7. Proceeding analogously, we see that the above integral indeed equals

$$\int_{X_1\times\cdots\times X_m}\sigma(N_{x_1}X_1,\dots,N_{x_m}X_m)\,dx_1\cdots dx_m,$$

which completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We consider $X_i := p^{-1}(Y_i)$, which is a submanifold, since the projection $p\colon G\to G/K$ is a submersion, cf. [9, Thm. A.15]. Moreover, $g_1X_1,\dots,g_mX_m$ intersect

transversally if $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ do so. We can rewrite the integral in the statement as

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \mathbb{E}_{(g_1,\ldots,g_m)\in G^m} |g_1 Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_m Y_m| \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(g_1,\ldots,g_{m-1})\in G^{m-1}} |g_1 Y_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_{m-1} Y_{m-1} \cap Y_m| \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|}\frac{1}{|G|^{m-1}} \int_{G^{m-1}} |g_1 X_1 \cap \cdots \cap g_{m-1} X_{m-1} \cap X_m| \, dg_1 \cdots dg_{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

For justifying the last equality, note that the coarea formula [9, Thm. 17.8] yields $|p^{-1}(Y)| = |K||Y|$ for any submanifold Y of G/K, since p is a Riemannian submersion. Applying Lemma 7.4 to the integral in the last line, we obtain

$$E = \frac{1}{|K|}\frac{1}{|G|^{m-1}} \int_{X_1\times\cdots\times X_m} \sigma(N_{x_1}X_1, \ldots, N_{x_m}X_m)\, dx_1 \cdots dx_m.$$

The projection $P\colon X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m \to Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$ defined by $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) := (p(x_1), \ldots, p(x_m))$ is a Riemannian submersion with fibers isometric to K^m. Using the coarea formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X_1\times\cdots\times X_m} \sigma(N_{x_1}X_1, \ldots, N_{x_m}X_m)\, dx_1 \cdots dx_m \\ &= \int_{y\in Y_1\times\cdots Y_m} \int_{x\in P^{-1}(y)} \sigma(N_{x_1}X_1, \ldots, N_{x_m}X_m)\, dx\, dy \\ &= |K|^m \int_{y\in Y_1\times\cdots Y_m} \sigma_K(y_1, \ldots, y_m)\, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to Definition 7.1. (Note that here is where we use the right invariance of the metric under the action of the elements in K, as one can verify by a careful inspection of the last steps. The reader can see the proof of [25, Thm. 3.8], which is almost identical and where all the details of the calculation are shown). This completes the proof. □

References

[1] Pierre-Antoine Absil, Alan Edelman, and Plamen Koev. On the largest principal angle between random subspaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 414(1):288–294, 2006.

[2] Dennis Amelunxen. *Geometric analysis of the condition of the convex feasibility problem*. PhD thesis, Institute of Mathematics, University of Paderborn, 2011.

[3] Saugata Basu, Antonio Lerario, Erik Lundberg, and Chris Peterson. Random fields and the enumerative geometry of lines on real and complex hypersurfaces. arXiv:1610.01205, 2016.

[4] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Françoise Roy. *Real algebraic geometry*, volume 36 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[5] Paul Breiding. The expected number of Z-eigenvalues of a real gaussian tensor. arXiv:1604.03910.

[6] Theodor Bröcker and Tammo tom Dieck. *Representations of compact Lie groups*, volume 98 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Translated from the German manuscript, Corrected reprint of the 1985 translation.

[7] Peter Bürgisser. Average Euler characteristic of random real algebraic varieties. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 345(9):507–512, 2007.

[8] Peter Bürgisser. Condition of intersecting a projective variety with a varying linear subspace. *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry*, 2017. To appear, arXiv:1510.04142v2.

[9] Peter Bürgisser and Felipe Cucker. *Condition: The geometry of numerical algorithms*, volume 349 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[10] Alexandru Dimca. *Topics on real and complex singularities*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1987. An introduction.

[11] Jan Draisma and Emil Horobeţ. The average number of critical rank-one approximations to a tensor. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 64(12):2498–2518, 2016.

[12] Jan Draisma, Emil Horobeţ, Giorgio Ottaviani, Bernd Sturmfels, and Rekha R. Thomas. The Euclidean distance degree of an algebraic variety. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 16(1):99–149, 2016.

[13] Alan Edelman, Tomás A. Arias, and Steven T. Smith. The geometry of algorithms with orthogonality constraints. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 20(2):303–353, 1999.
[14] Davis Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that: a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
[15] Watson Fulks and J. O. Sather. Asymptotics. II. Laplace's method for multiple integrals. *Pacific J. Math.*, 11:185–192, 1961.
[16] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
[17] Yan V. Fyodorov, Antonio Lerario, and Erik Lundberg. On the number of connected components of random algebraic hypersurfaces. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 95:1–20, 2015.
[18] Damien Gayet and Jean-Yves Welschinger. Lower estimates for the expected Betti numbers of random real hypersurfaces. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 90(1):105–120, 2014.
[19] Damien Gayet and Jean-Yves Welschinger. Expected topology of random real algebraic submanifolds. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 14(4):673–702, 2015.
[20] Damien Gayet and Jean-Yves Welschinger. Betti numbers of random real hypersurfaces and determinants of random symmetric matrices. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 18(4):733–772, 2016.
[21] Israel M. Gelfand, Mikhail M. Kapranov, and Andrei V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
[22] A. Gray and L. Vanhecke. The volumes of tubes in a Riemannian manifold. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, 39(3):1–50 (1983), 1981.
[23] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.
[24] Joe Harris. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 133 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. A first course, Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
[25] Ralph Howard. The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(509):vi+69, 1993.
[26] Steven L. Kleiman. The transversality of a general translate. *Compositio Math.*, 28:287–297, 1974.
[27] Steven L. Kleiman and Dan Laksov. Schubert calculus. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 79:1061–1082, 1972.
[28] Kathlén Kohn. Coisotropic hypersurfaces in the Grassmannian. arXiv:1607.05932, 2016.
[29] Eric Kostlan. On the distribution of roots of random polynomials. In *From Topology to Computation: Proceedings of the Smalefest (Berkeley, CA, 1990)*, pages 419–431. Springer, New York, 1993.
[30] S. E. Kozlov. Geometry of real Grassmannian manifolds. I, II, III. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 246(Geom. i Topol. 2):84–107, 108–129, 197–198, 1997.
[31] Antonio Lerario. Random matrices and the average topology of the intersection of two quadrics. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(8):3239–3251, 2015.
[32] Antonio Lerario and Erik Lundberg. Gap probabilities and Betti numbers of a random intersection of quadrics. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 55(2):462–496, 2016.
[33] Stanislaw Lojasiewicz. Ensembles semi-analytiques. IHES Lecture Notes, 1965.
[34] Laurent Manivel. *Symmetric functions, Schubert polynomials and degeneracy loci*, volume 6 of *SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2001.
[35] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
[36] Robb J. Muirhead. *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1982. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.
[37] David Mumford. *Algebraic geometry. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Complex projective varieties, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 221.
[38] Igor Rivin. Surface area and other measures of ellipsoids. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 39(4):409–427, 2007.
[39] Rolf Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 151 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, expanded edition, 2014.
[40] Igor R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry. 2*. Springer, Heidelberg, third edition, 2013. Schemes and complex manifolds, Translated from the 2007 third Russian edition by Miles Reid.
[41] Michael Shub and Stephen Smale. Complexity of Bézout's theorem II: volumes and probabilities. In F. Eyssette and A. Galligo, editors, *Computational Algebraic Geometry*, volume 109 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 267–285. Birkhäuser, 1993.
[42] Frank Sottile. Pieri's formula via explicit rational equivalence. *Canad. J. Math.*, 49(6):1281–1298, 1997.

[43] Frank Sottile. Enumerative real algebraic geometry. In *Algorithmic and quantitative real algebraic geometry (Piscataway, NJ, 2001)*, volume 60 of *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, pages 139–179. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
[44] Frank Sottile. *Real solutions to equations from geometry*, volume 57 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
[45] Michael Spivak. *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. I.* Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., second edition, 1979.
[46] Jacek Stasica. Smooth points of a semialgebraic set. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 82(2):149–153, 2003.
[47] Bernd Sturmfels. The Hurwitz form of a projective variety. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 79(part 1):186–196, 2017.
[48] Terence Tao. *Topics in random matrix theory*, volume 132 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
[49] R. C. Thompson. Singular values, diagonal elements, and convexity. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 32(1):39–63, 1977.
[50] Ravi Vakil. Schubert induction. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(2):489–512, 2006.
[51] Richard A. Vitale. Expected absolute random determinants and zonoids. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 1(2):293–300, 1991.
[52] Roderick Wong. *Asymptotic approximations of integrals*, volume 34 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2001. Corrected reprint of the 1989 original.

Institute of Mathematics, Technische Universität Berlin
E-mail address: pbuerg@math.tu-berlin.de

SISSA (Trieste)
E-mail address: lerario@sissa.it